

01/20/98  
jc556 U.S. PTO

PATENT

Docket No. Mold. N. Coat. Article

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks  
Washington, D.C. 20231

NEW APPLICATION TRANSMITTAL

Transmitted herewith for filing is the patent application of  
Inventor(s):

Steven M. Maus and George J. Galic

**WARNING:** Patent must be applied for in the name(s) of all of the actual inventor(s). 37 CFR 1.41(a) and 1.53(b).

For (title): Injection Molded Paired Thermoplastic Spectacle Lenses Suited For Fully Automated Dip Handcoating

1. Type of Application

This new application is for a(n) (check one applicable item below):

- ☒ Original  
☐ Design  
☐ Plant

**WARNING:** Do not use this transmittal for a completion in the U.S. of an International Application under 35 U.S.C. 371(c)(4) unless the International Application is being filed as a divisional, continuation or continuation-in-part application.

**NOTE:** If one of the following 3 items apply then complete and attach ADDED PAGES FOR NEW APPLICATION TRANSMITTAL WHERE BENEFIT OF A PRIOR U.S. APPLICATION CLAIMED.

- ☒ Divisional (Claiming priority date of Serial No. 08/533,126;  
☐ Continuation other Divisionals " " " " "  
☐ Continuation-in-part (CIP) are Serial Nos. 08/795,613 and 08/795,903)

CERTIFICATION UNDER 37 CFR 1.10

I hereby certify that this New Application Transmittal and the documents referred to as enclosed therein are being deposited with the United States Postal Service on this date Jan. 20, 1998 in an envelope as "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" Mailing Label Number EM 58707254 US addressed to the: Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, Washington, D.C. 20231.

George Galic  
(Type or print name of person mailing paper)

George Galic  
(Signature of person mailing paper)

**NOTE:** Each paper or fee referred to as enclosed herein has the number of the "Express Mail" mailing label placed thereon prior to mailing. 37 CFR 1.10(b).

**2. Benefit of Prior U.S. Application(s) (35 USC 120)**

**NOTE:** If the new application being transmitted is a divisional continuation or a continuation-in-part of a parent case, or where the parent case is an International Application which designated the U.S., then check the following item and complete and attach **ADDED PAGES FOR NEW APPLICATION TRANSMITTAL WHERE BENEFIT OF PRIOR U.S. APPLICATION(S) CLAIMED.**

- ☒ The new application being transmitted claims the benefit of prior U.S. application(s) and enclosed are **ADDED PAGES FOR NEW APPLICATION TRANSMITTAL WHERE BENEFIT OF PRIOR U.S. APPLICATION(S) CLAIMED.**

**3. Papers Enclosed Which Are Required For Filing Date Under 37 CFR 1.53(b) (Regular) or 37 CFR 1.153 (Design) Application**

46 Pages of specification

9 Pages of claims

1 Pages of Abstract

8 Sheets of drawing

☐ formal

☒ informal

**WARNING:** DO NOT submit original drawings. A high quality copy of the drawings should be supplied when filing a patent application. The drawings that are submitted to the Office must be on strong, white, smooth, and non-shiny paper and meet the standards according to § 1.84. If corrections to the drawings are necessary, they should be made to the original drawing and a high-quality copy of the corrected original drawing then submitted to the Office. Only one copy is required or desired. Comments on proposed new 37 CFR 1.84. Notice of March 9, 1988 (1990 O.G. 57-62).

**NOTE:** "Identifying indicia such as the serial number, group and unit, title of the invention, attorney's docket number, inventor's name, number of sheets, etc., not to exceed 2 3/4 inches (7.0 cm.) in width may be placed in a centered location between the side edges within three fourths inch (19.1 mm.) of the top edge. Either this marking technique on the front of the drawing or the placement, although not preferred, of this information and the title of the invention on the back of the drawings is acceptable." Proposed 37 CFR 1.84(1). Notice of March 9, 1988 (1090 O.G. 57-62).

**4. Additional papers enclosed**

☐ Preliminary Amendment

☒ Information Disclosure Statement

☒ Form PTO-1449

☐ Citations

☐ Declaration of Biological Deposit

☐ Authorization of Attorney(s) to Accept and Follow Instructions from Representative

☐ Special Comments

☐ Other

5. Declaration or oath

☒ Enclosed

executed by (check all applicable boxes)

☒ inventor(s).

☐ legal representative of inventor(s). 37 CFR 1.42 or 1.43

☐ joint inventor or person showing a proprietary interest on behalf of inventor who refused to sign or cannot be reached.

☐ this is the petition required by 37 CFR 1.47 and the statement required by 37 CFR 1.47 is also attached. See item 13 below for fee.

☐ Not Enclosed.

**WARNING:** Where the filing is a completion in the U.S. of an International Application but where a declaration is not available or where the completion of the U.S. application contains subject matter in addition to the International Application the application may be treated as a continuation or continuation-in-part, as the case may be, utilizing ADDED PAGE FOR NEW APPLICATION TRANSMITTAL WHERE BENEFIT OF PRIOR U.S. APPLICATION CLAIMED.

☐ Application is made by a person authorized under 37 CFR 1.41(c) on behalf of all the above named inventor(s). The declaration or oath, along with the surcharge required by 37 CFR 1.16(e) can be filed subsequently.

**Note:** It is important that all the correct inventor(s) are named for filing under 37 CFR 1.41(c) and 1.53(b).

☐ Showing that the filing is authorized. (Not required unless called into question. 37 CFR 1.41(d).

6. Inventorship Statement

**WARNING:** If the named inventors are each not the inventors of all the claims an explanation, including the ownership of the various claims at the time the last claimed invention was made, should be submitted.

The inventorship for all the claims in this application are:

☒ The same

or

☐ Are not the same. An explanation, including the ownership of the various claims at the time the last claimed invention was made,

☐ is submitted.

☐ will be submitted.

7. Language

**NOTE:** An application including a signed oath or declaration may be filed in a language other than English. A verified English translation of the non-English language application and the processing fee of \$30.00 required by 37 CFR 1.17(k) is required to be filed with the application or within such time as may be set by the Office. 37 CFR 1.52(d).

**NOTE:** A non-English oath or declaration in the form provided or approved by the PTO need not be translated. 37 CFR 1.69(b).

☒ English

☐ non-English

☐ the attached translation is a verified translation. 37 CFR 1.52(d).

# 8. Assignment

☒ An assignment of the invention to GALIC MAUS VENTURES

☐ is attached.

☒ will follow.

# 9. Certified Copy

Certified copy(ies) of application(s)

(country)	(appln. no.)	(filed)
(country)	(appln. no.)	(filed)
(country)	(appln. no.)	(filed)

from which priority is claimed

☐ is(are) attached.

☐ will follow.

*Note: The foreign application forming the basis for the claim for priority must be referred to in the oath or declaration. 37 CFR 1.55(a) and 1.63.*

*NOTE: This item is for any foreign priority for which the application being filed directly relates. If any parent U.S. application or International Application from which this application claims benefit under 35 U.S.C. 120 is itself entitled to priority from a prior foreign application then complete item 18 on the ADDED PAGES FOR NEW APPLICATION TRANSMITTAL WHERE BENEFIT OF PRIOR U.S. APPLICATION(S) CLAIMED.*

# 10. Fee Calculation (37 CFR 1.16)

A. ☒ Regular application

CLAIMS AS FILED					
Number filed	Number Extra	Rate	Basic Fee		
			<del>\$370.00</del>	per Oct 1997 schedule 790.00	
Total Claims <u>18</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>X</u>	<u>\$ 12.00</u>	<u>0</u>	
Independent Claims (37 CFR 1.16(b)) <u>2</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>X</u>	<u>\$ 36.00</u>	<u>0</u>	
Multiple dependent claim(s), if any (37 CFR 1.16(d))	<u>0</u>		<u>\$120.00</u>	<u>0</u>	

☐ Amendment cancelling extra claims enclosed.

☐ Amendment deleting multiple dependencies enclosed.

☐ Fee for extra claims is not being paid at this time.

*NOTE: If the fees for extra claims are not paid on filing they must be paid or the claims cancelled by amendment, prior to the expiration of the time period set for response by the Patent and Trademark Office in any notice of fee deficiency. 37 CFR 1.16(d).*

Filing Fee Calculation

\$ 790.00

- B. ☐ Design application  
(\$150.00—37 CFR 1.16(f))

Filing Fee Calculation

\$ \_\_\_\_\_

- C. ☐ Plant application  
(\$250.00—37 CFR 1.16(g))

Filing fee calculation

\$ \_\_\_\_\_

**11. Small Entity Statement(s)**

- ☒ Verified Statement(s) that this is a filing by a small entity under 37 CFR 1.9 and 1.27 is(are) attached.

Filing Fee Calculation (50% of A, B or C above)

\$ 395.00

NOTE: Any excess of the full fee paid will be refunded if a verified statement and a refund request are filed within 2 months of the date of timely payment of a full fee. 37 CFR 1.28(a).

**12. Request for International-Type Search (37 CFR 1.104(d)) (complete, if applicable)**

- ☐ Please prepare an international-type search report for this application at the time when national examination on the merits takes place.

**13. Fee Payment Being Made At This Time**

- ☐ Not Enclosed

- ☐ No filing fee is to be paid at this time. (This and the surcharge required by 37 CFR 1.16(e) can be paid subsequently.)

- ☒ Enclosed

- ☒ basic filing fee

\$ 395.00

- ☐ recording assignment  
(\$8.00; 37 CFR 1.21(h))

\$ \_\_\_\_\_

- ☐ petition fee for filing by other  
than all the inventors or person  
on behalf of the inventor where  
inventor refused to sign or cannot  
be reached. (\$120.00; 37 CFR  
1.47 and 1.17(h))

\$ \_\_\_\_\_

- ☐ for processing an application with  
a specification in a non-English  
language. (\$30.00; 37 CFR 1.52(d) and  
1.17(k))

\$ \_\_\_\_\_

- ☐ processing and retention fee  
(\$120.00; 37 CFR 1.53(d) and 1.21(l))

\$ \_\_\_\_\_

- ☐ fee for international-type search report (\$30.00;  
37 CFR 1.21(e)).

\$ \_\_\_\_\_

NOTE: 37 CFR 1.21(l) establishes a fee for processing and retaining any application which is abandoned for failing to complete the application pursuant to 37 CFR 1.53(d) and this, as well as the changes to 37 CFR 1.53 and 1.78, indicate that in order to obtain the benefit of a prior U.S. application, either the basic filing fee must be paid or the processing and retention fee of § 1.21(l) must be paid within 1 year from notification under § 53(d).

**Total fees enclosed**

\$ 395.00

14. Method of Payment of Fees

- ☐ Check in the amount of \$ \_\_\_\_\_
- ☒ Charge Account No. 07-0133 in the amount of \$ 395.00. A duplicate of this transmittal is attached.

NOTE: Fees should be itemized in such a manner that it is clear for which purpose the fees are paid. 37 CFR 1.22(b).

15. Authorization to Charge Additional Fees

WARNING: If no fees are to be paid on filing the following items should not be completed.

WARNING: Accurately count claims, especially multiple dependent claims, to avoid unexpected high charges, if extra claim charges are authorized.

- ☒ The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge the following additional fees by this paper and during the entire pendency of this application to Account No. 07-0133:

- ☒ 37 CFR 1.16(a), (f) or (g) (filing fees)
- ☒ 37 CFR 1.16(b), (c) and (d) (presentation of extra claims)

NOTE: Because additional fees for excess or multiple dependent claims not paid on filing or on later presentation must only be paid or these claims cancelled by amendment prior to the expiration of the time period set for response by the PTO in any notice of fee deficiency (37 CFR 1.16(d)), it might be best not to authorize the PTO to charge additional claim fees, except possibly when dealing with amendments after final action.

- ☒ 37 CFR 1.16(e) (surcharge for filing the basic filing fee and/or declaration on a date later than the filing date of the application)
- ☒ 37 CFR 1.17 (application processing fees)

WARNING: While 37 CFR 1.17(a), (b), (c) and (d) deal with extensions of time under § 1.136(a) this authorization should be made only with the knowledge that: "Submission of the appropriate extension fee under 37 C.F.R. 1.136(a) is to no avail unless a request or petition for extension is filed." (Emphasis added). Notice of November 5, 1985 (1060 O.G. 27).

- ☒ 37 CFR 1.18 (issue fee at or before mailing of Notice of Allowance, pursuant to 37 CFR 1.311(b))

NOTE: Where an authorization to charge the issue fee to a deposit account has been filed before the mailing of a Notice of Allowance, the issue fee will be automatically charged to the deposit account at the time of mailing the notice of allowance. 37 CFR 1.311(b).

NOTE: 37 CFR 1.28(b) requires "Notification of any change in loss of entitlement to small entity status must be filed in the application . . . prior to paying, or at the time of paying, . . . issue fee". From the wording of 37 CFR 1.28(b): (a) notification of change of status must be made even if the fee is paid as "other than a small entity" and (b) no notification is required if the change is to another small entity.

16. Instructions As To Overpayment

- ☒ credit Account No. 07-0133
- ☐ refund

Reg. No.

Tel. No. (612) 571-7961

Fax No. (612) 572-2531

George J. Galic  
SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY Co-Inventors Acting Pro Se  
George J. Galic  
Type or print name of attorney  
5140 St. Moritz Drive  
P.O. Address  
Columbia Heights, MN 55421

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant : Steven M. Maus and )  
George J. Galic )  
Divisional Application of ) Before the Examiner  
Serial No. : 08/533,126 ) Mathieu Vargot  
Originally Filed On 09/25/95 )  
(Claimed Priority Date for Div.) ) Group Art Unit  
Docket : Mold.N.Coat.995 ) 1307

TITLE : "INJECTION MOLDED PAIRED THERMOPLASTIC SPECTACLE LENSES SUITED  
FOR FULLY AUTOMATED DIP HARDCOATING"

TRANSMITTAL LETTER REGARDING NEW DIVISIONAL APPLICATION

Examiner Mathieu Vargot  
c/o Honorable Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks  
Washington , D.C. 20231  
Dear Sir :

In a first Office Action of the above - identified original application Serial No. 08/533,126 mailed 01/06/97, Examiner Mathieu Vargot set forth four (4) Inventions numbered I thru IV , and required Applicants to elect one Invention under 35 U.S.C. 121 . Applicants elected Invention II , Method Claims 4-10 for examination, which will be issued as US Patent 5,718,849.

In two separate Divisional Applications of the above - identified original application Serial No. 08/533,126 filed separately on Feb. 5, 1997, Applicants submitted :

Invention I , with Method Claims 1-3 from the above - identified original application, now pending as Patent Application Serial No. 08/795,903.

Invention IV , with Apparatus Claims 12-27 from the above - identified original application, now pending as Patent Application Serial No. 08/795,613.

In a third separate Divisional Application (filed by Express Mail on this date 1/20/98) of the above - identified original application Serial No. 08/533,126, Applicants now submit new Article of Manufacture Claims 28-45 drawn from the above - identified original application Serial No. 08/533,126. No new matter is added . A new title is given (see above), to reflect the new Article of Manufacture scope of this third separate Divisional Application. Applicants hereby reaffirm coinventorship of the Invention and all Claims 28-45 of this newest Divisional Application , so no amendment of inventorship is needed.

Respectfully submitted ,

GEORGE GALIC *George Galic*  
Co-inventor & Managing Partner of Galic Maus Ventures  
5140 St. Moritz Drive , Columbia Heights , MN 55421  
phone (612) 571-7961 ; fax 572-2531

Signed and dated Jan. 20 , 1998

METHOD & APPARATUS FOR INJECTION-COMPRESSION MOLDING & EJECTING PAIRED  
THERMOPLASTIC SPECTACLE LENS SUITED FOR FULLY AUTOMATED DIP HARDCOATING

1. Field of the Invention.

5 The field of the present invention is plastic injection -compression molding of pairs of flash-free improved-cleanliness thermoplastic spectacle lens , to be fed into subsequent in-line dip hardcoating. More specifically, a method and apparatus for multi-cavity injection molding of polycarbonate spectacle lens is integrated via full automation with dip hardcoating, to produce clean hardcoated  
10 molded lens made entirely within a single continuous cleanroom air enclosure surrounding the lenses, without any human operators therein, nor requiring any cutting or trimming of the molded paired lens or runner system before hardcoating, nor use of Freon (tm) CFC nor aqueous cleaning protocols before dipcoating. An extension of this cleanroom enclosure and robotic handling may  
15 optionally provide in-line continuous-product-flow automatic inspection of optical power and lens cosmetic quality, and/or may optionally provide in-line continuous-product-flow anti-reflective thin film vacuum coating, before the molded-and-hardcoated polycarbonate lenses exit out of the continuous cleanroom air enclosure and/or receive manual handling .

20 2. Background of the Invention.

A. Rx Lens Market Trend to Polycarbonate

The relevant product field is vision-corrective plastic ophthalmic prescription spectacle lens (hereinafter abbreviated "Rx lens") having refractive index greater than 1.530 glass and 1.49-1.50 "CR-39" (chemically, peroxide-crosslinked  
25 allyl diglycol carbonate thermoset-cast lens). This is the fastest growing

category of Rx lens materials in the last five years, both in U.S. and worldwide markets. Such cast thermoset and injection-molded thermoplastics are so highly desirable because the consumer/wearer of spectacle lens finds them to be thinner (due to greater light-bending power of high-refractive-index plastic) and  
5 lighter (lower specific gravity, particularly in the case of polycarbonate versus CR-39 ). As a result, the myopic ("near-sighted") spectacle lens wearer can avoid the cosmetically undesirable appearance of "wearing coke-bottle glasses". In addition, lighter weight means better comfort, less weight, less pinching at the nose and top of ears, where the loadbearing surfaces are.

10 Within this "thin & light", higher-refractive-index plastic Rx lens segment, U.S. market statistics show a combined share of 25-30% of the total market. However, within this segment, the thermoset cast high-index share has been essentially unchanged since 1991 ; nearly all this growth in recent years is of the thermoplastic injection-molded Rx lens type, most specifically embodied by  
15 polycarbonate (R.I. =1.586). (Although there are other candidate high-index thermoplastics also being considered, so far polycarbonate is most firmly established commercially -- hereinafter, "polycarbonate" will be taken to be inclusive of other optical-grade thermoplastic substitutes, as would be obvious to those skilled in the art).

20 The major reason for market share shift toward polycarbonate Rx lens and away from cast thermoset high index Rx lens is reported to be the considerably lower manufacturing costs of polycarbonate Rx lens at high production volume levels. This, in turn, is from the high levels of automation attainable with polycarbonate, but inherently not attainable with the more labor-intensive  
25 thermoset casting operations. At low-volume percent utilization, highly automated production can be burdened with extremely high fixed cost, but as

volume increases past "breakeven" levels, there is a cross-over point where the relatively higher variable-cost inputs of labor and materials inherent to thermoset casting becomes very disadvantageous. Thereafter, with increasing volume, the incremental profit per unit of increased volume becomes highly leveraged in favor of the more automated (polycarbonate) manufacturing operation.

This is reflected in market pricing from the lens manufacturers, wherein the cast high-index hardcoated Rx lenses are far from being price-competitive with corresponding prescriptions of the multi-cavity injection-molded, hardcoated polycarbonate Rx lenses (especially, finished single vision ("FSV") types which have higher unit sales volumes per Rx). The cast high-index FSV can be typically 50-100% higher priced. It is for these reasons why a further level of manufacturing cost reduction, through even greater level of automation and through improved capital efficiency ( = lower breakeven volume, which reduces capital requirements for new manufacturing entries into the field) will be strategically crucial in the polycarbonate Rx lens' future growth.

#### B. Prior Art Patents on Multi-Cavity Lens Molding and Dip Hardcoating

Today, polycarbonate Rx lens worldwide production is dominated by four companies, together comprising an estimated greater-than-90% share of world market (although there are new entries just starting up). Each of these four currently employ some form of injection-compression multi-cavity molding process and apparatus, at the start of their "batch process" manufacturing flowsheet (see Figure 4A Comparative Example). The next step is post-molding cutting of runner system and/or degating or trimming off ejector tabs, so the trimmed lenses can be mounted into a lensholder rack. Typically, these are semi-automatic operations assisted by a human operator, but they can also be

entirely manual operations. An example of a molded-on hanger tab which is fitted to engage a lensholder rack holding a plurality of such lenses is shown in Weber (US 4,443,159). The next step in the manufacturing flowsheet is to use some form of cleaning protocol (earlier versions were all Freon (tm) CFC ultrasonic vapor  
5 degreaser methodologies ; more recently, water-based cleaning is aqueous high-pressure sprays with centrifugal spinning, or multi-stage ultrasonic tank immersions, followed by drying operations). These cleaned and dried lenses are then dipcoated in liquid hardcoating solutions (either heat-curing silicone types or UV-curing types), and the coating is cured by chemical crosslinking.

10 Two of the above-mentioned four polycarbonate Rx lens manufacturers are licensees of Applicants' US 4,828,769 and US 4,900,242. A third is Gentex Corporation, assignee of Weymouth (US 4,933,119). A fourth is Neolens, assignee of Bakalar (US 4,664,854). These patents employ some form of injection-compression molding process sequence with a plurality of mold cavities  
15 and employing various means for achieving cavity-to-cavity balance therebetween. These three patents employed by four manufacturers differ in how the molded lens is ejected out of the lens mold, as can be easily seen by observing the O.D.-perimeter lens edge & sidewall of a sample lens from each manufacturer. More on this later in Fig. 2 and its descriptive text. All three necessarily do  
20 at least some cutting before dipcoating is possible.

Looking at other prior art patents showing multicavity injection -compression molding of Rx lens, Weber (US 4,008,031) apparatus for injection-compression molding of Rx lens shows what appears to be a two-cavity mold. At 180 degrees opposite the gate inlet 23 is a hanger 20 for use in subsequent dipcoating  
25 operations. Weber also shows two molded-on ejector tabs 16, located at about 10:30-1:00 o'clock positions, with respect to the gate/dripmark location at 6:00

o'clock . Normally, this location would have the detrimental effect of propagating coating flowout runs along the front and back faces of the molded lens during dipcoating withdrawal, but in Weber's case, he has installed the hanger tab and ejector tabs onto a circumferential flange 12, which is set back 5 from both the front and back lens edges, such that coating flow runoff could then follow this flange from top to bottom of each individually-held lens (provided the lens don't swing from side to side).

Uehara et al (US 5,093,049) also teaches and shows injection-compression molding of Rx lens in a two-cavity mold, with the cavities connected by a cold runner /0 and sprue, with the sprue being able to be mechanically shut off at a predetermined time in the cycle, to prevent backflow. Uehara is silent on any ejection means for demolding these two lenses and no ejector tabs or pins are shown. If the forward travel of the movable cores, which provide the compression, is limited by hard stops, they cannot be used to drive forward past 15 the parting line once the mold is open, to assist ejection. In that case, a human operator would be relied upon to manually grasp the cold sprue and pull loose the two lenses attached thereto from the mold. No hanger tab is shown or mentioned.

Other historically important injection-compression molding of Rx lenses includes 20 Spector et al (US 4,836,960) and Laliberte (US 4,364,878), but both of these are limited to single-cavity embodiments.

Looking now at Rx lens dipcoating prior art patents (in addition to previously-cited Weber (US 4,443,159), Laliberte (US 3,956,540 Method and US 4,036,168 Apparatus) teaches a form of conveyORIZED transfer of such lensholder 25 racks through a multi-station machine internally having a filtered-air cleanroom environment, wherein the lenses are successively ultrasonically cleaned and

destatisized, then dipcoated, then dried and at least partially cured to a tackfree state before the conveyor takes them to a loading/unloading station, where the lenses can be removed by the operator. Similar configurations were developed using different automated transfer means, including two chain-drive  
5 conveyors operating in parallel and connected by crossbars whereon the lensholder racks would be hung, or, alternatively, an overhead conveyor with power and free flights for indexing could be used, with suspended removable lensholder racks mounted thereon. Such configurations for polycarbonate Rx lenses (and non-Rx lenses) typically used at least one (preferably, two , in  
10 series dips) Freon ultrasonic cleaner/degreasers, wherein the polycarbonate lenses were immersed in the ultrasonic sump for a prescribed time, during which cavitation (generation and collapse of microscopic bubbles) provides high kinetic energy working synergistically with the Freon's solvency (to reduce adherent films holding onto the soils on the lens surface), to thus dislodge and  
15 float away surface contaminants of both soluable and insoluable types. After lens removal from the ultrasonic sump solution, an azeotropic freon/alcohol vapor zone would help rinse and dry the lens before going into the dipcoating tank.

Liebler et al, UK Patent Application GB2 159 441 A, published 4 December, 1985;  
20 assignee: Rohm GmbH) also teaches continuous dip production of scratch-resistant liquid coatings onto plastic optical moldings (such as lenses). It specifically teaches an endless conveyor belt to transfer lensholder racks containing a plurality of lenses. Among the optical plastic moldings contemplated are spectacle lenses, and Figure 2 shows a molding with a  
25 "lug 10 for clamping purposes is formed thereon and diametrically opposite this lugged end is a drippoff lug 11, so that excessive scratch-resistant coating composition can drip off without forming a ridge when coated and dried." (Lines

97-105). In comparison to Laliberte, this machine is far simpler, contemplating merely a load/unload, a liquid dipcoating station, and a drying station shown (described as, "preferably, two or more infrared radiators". Not shown but mentioned in text is ... "cleansing bath may also be provided upstream of the immersion bath. The cleansing bath may, for example, be an ultrasonic bath containing organic solvent". (Lines 122-128). However, Liebler is believed not to have ever been actually used for spectacle lens coating nor Rx lens coating. There are major technical problems unforeseen by Liebler . His Figure 2 lens with diametrically-opposed hanger tab and drip tab would inevitably have coating flowout runs propagated from the two junctions of the coating tab, at its shoulders. Unfortunately, these runs take place in the very worst location of the perimeter, since the coating flow runs will go directly through the central, most critical zone of the optics for vision (see Comparative Example Figure 2D). To the extent that the Liebler apparatus might be acceptable, it would not be believed to be spectacle lenses, but rather ordinary protective-covering lenses such as watch glasses, scales, and mirrors, none of which are required to have the high quality of image transmission that corrective-vision spectacle lenses must have. Where the hardcoating merely is to protect from heavy scratching and the protective-covering lens is merely to provide some transparency to a product or device, such flow runs may be harmless and not a functional problem. However, for spectacle lenses with human vision problems resulting from optical aberrations, such coating flow runs would be completely unacceptable and the source of very high percent rejectable flaws. If such tab configurations are as shown, of the full thickness of the lens molding, then such a problem would be absolutely intrinsic. However, if the tab is not of the full thickness of the lens, as shown in the Weber drawings, but merely thick enough to support the relatively light weight of the lens suspended thereby, then such a tab location

would be acceptable, but only if the lens is held level in its mount , not rocking back and forth, which would be a another problem envisioned with Liebler's "endless conveyor".

### C. Environmental and Economic Problems with Lens Cleaning

5 "Freon" cleaning is based upon now-unacceptable CFC-113 (ozone-depleting), production of which theoretically ceased on December 31st, 1994, in accordance with the Montreal protocol and its EU revisions. As a result, new Rx lens installations necessarily have substituted aqueous cleaning approaches instead. One such approach employs high-pressure (up to 20,000 psi) jets of water spray  
10 which are scanned across the front and back surfaces of the lens, by moving the lens (such as spinning it on a spindle) or by moving the spray head (such as by reciprocating motion) or preferably, a combination of both. High-pressure water spray is very effective in removing insoluble particulate forms of surface contamination (such as electrostatically-held polycarbonate dust particles or  
15 airborne inorganic dusts) but has the drawback that such cleaning is 100% "line of sight", so not only must lenses typically be cleaned one at a time, but a typical spin/spray combination requires one side to be cleaned, then manually or robotically flipped over and placed back on a different spindle to clean the second side. The throughput of such equipment (number of lenses per hour)  
20 versus the labor cost and capital cost is very much higher than the old Freon cleaners it replaced, which are now environmentally unacceptable.

A second way of aqueous cleaning is to have an ultrasonic, water-based detergent solution in the first stage of a countercurrent-flow, multi-station, automated cleaning line with conveyORIZED transport taking the lenses through successive  
25 immersion tanks (typically, at least five, and preferably 7-15 stations, including deionized water rinses).

Whether by high-pressure water spray or by ultrasonic, multi-stage tank immersions, the resulting clean-but-still-wet polycarbonate lens cannot yet be dipped into the liquid hardcoatings (which are all chemically incompatible with any significant % water) , so they still face another problem, and that is how to completely remove all the remaining water from the lens (and/or its lensholder rack), without creating superficial stains ("water spots") on the lens' optical surfaces. In the case of water-immersion tanks, the last tank is typically maintained at a very high temperature, near the boiling point of water (which can cause lens "fogging" due to high % humidity inside the cleanroom wherein dipcoating drydown must also be done) , and the withdrawal rate of the lenses being removed from the tank is extremely slow, to encourage capillary effect to maximize water removal. In the case of spin/high-pressure spray, centrifugal action of high-RPM spinning speeds is attempted to sling off all excess water. Nevertheless, because the liquid hardcoating solutions cannot stand even small amounts of water "dragout" introduced by lenses (even small droplets of water will result in streaky or spotty fogging of the coated lenses or blotchy appearance). So, inevitably, a hot-air-circulating dryer (filtered for cleanliness) must be used, which makes for an energy-intensive and costly operation. The multi-station automatic-transfer water cleaner in-line system takes up a great deal of floor space and costly (multi - \$100,000). In addition, disposal of the liquid effluent from these aqueous cleaning solutions is turning out to be an environmental problem not previously encountered with the Freon cleaners it replaced.

### 3. Objectives of the Invention

For these reasons, one objective of the present invention is to produce cleanly-demolded multicavity Rx lenses which are ready to dipcoat without

cutting or trimming , nor any use of Freon or aqueous cleaning protocols, with a molded-on hanger tab having special design suited for robotic handling and transfers.

Another objective of the present invention is to have no human operator touch  
5 the lenses, starting from the time that multicavity demolding starts until after the hardcoating is at least partially cured to a tackfree state. Preferably, for minimal airborne contamination, no human operator will even be inside the same cleanroom airspace which surrounds the lens from start of demolding until after the hardcoating is at least partially cured to a tackfree state.

10 Another objective of the present invention is to increase productivity by changing the "unit of transfer" being handled from individual Rx lens of the prior art to paired molded-together Rx lens, which come from the mold ready to be robotically handled by means of the molded-on hanger tab having special design.

15 Another objective of the present invention is to minimize any plastic "flash" at the parting line edges of the paired molded lenses, so as to prevent dipcoating flow runs propagated off such flash and/or to eliminate any trimming off of flash before dipcoating , since such trimming processes generate plastic airborne particulate contaminations.

20 Another objective of the present invention is to be able to demold the lens cleanly , with ejection processes generating minimal (or none) metal or plastic airborne particulate contaminations.

Another objective of the present invention is to further reduce manufacturing costs of Rx polycarbonate lenses by improved % yields, less work-in-process

inventories, and better labor productivity by this novel fully-automated continuous-process flowsheet vs. prior art batch-process flowsheet.

#### 4. Summary of the Invention.

5 The present invention employs "design for manufacturability" principles found lacking in the prior art. An essential element of the present invention is that the unit of transfer, from the demolding step on through the coating-and-curing step, should be a pair of Rx lenses, not individual Rx lenses. Thus, each time a robotic transfer takes place, output is effectively doubled in this way. This insight is not found in the prior art, which teaches and shows only one single  
10 lenses per tab.

A second element is to provide means for a flash-free injection-compression molding process, using 2-stage spring-loaded forces which determine the cavity height of variable volume mold cavities during the filling and the ejecting phases of the cycle. (As used herein, "parting line flash" means plastic spilled  
15 out of the moldset along the parting line where the A side and B side of the moldset joins). Since any plastic "flash" at the parting line edges of the paired molded lenses is most likely to occur in the last fractions of a millimeter of the "mold-closing" compression stroke during such a filling process, this element greatly increases the spring forces which hold the  
20 moldset's parting line shut only during this last half-millimeter of compression stroke. Eliminating flash prevents dipcoating flow runs which readily propagate off such flash and/or to eliminate any trimming off of flash before dipcoating, since such trimming processes will generate plastic airborne particulate contaminations.

A third element is novel demolding operations which minimize or eliminate generation of airborne particulates which can contaminate the molded Rx lens product. This element first is embodied into Rx lens product design, most specifically, the lens edge detail geometry. Secondly, apparatus considerations  
5 must be built into the mold design to provide the required process steps of automatically stripping molded paired Rx lens off, when the mold is fully open and a robot arm with suitable gripper jaws is in its proper location to receive the ejected paired molded Rx lens (no manual assistance is to be needed during demolding.)

10 A fourth element of the present invention is elimination of all cutting or trimming of solidified thermoplastic once demolding has occurred, until after dipcoating has been applied and cured at least to a tackfree state. Eliminating flash by improved molding process (by the 2-stage spring force) is better than trimming flash off later. Any ejector tabs or drip tabs must be suitably located  
15 along the lens perimeter so as not to interfere with proper dipcoating and not to propagate coating flowout runs. Specifically, no such tabs will be placed in the upper 90-degree quadrant (defined as 10:30-1:30 o'clock locations) of the lens perimeter. The molded paired Rx lens must be connected therebetween by a cold runner, with said runner located in the 1:30-4:30 o'clock side quadrant for  
20 the left lens and the 7:30-10:30 o'clock side quadrant for the right lens.

A fifth element of the present invention is an integrally-molded hanger tab, typically located substantially equidistant between the two lenses in the molded pair and rising substantially vertically off of the cold-runner connecting the paired lens (such symmetry has the advantage of minimizing side-to-side tilting  
25 of the paired lens). In an optional but preferred embodiment, the head of this molded-on hanger tab will be above the highest top edge of the molded pair when

held vertically, so as to prevent the liquid dip hardcoating from contacting the robotic means for gripping the head, so the stem length between the head and the cold runner should be at least sufficiently above said top edge of lens. Most preferably, the stem will be sufficiently longer so that a second gripping  
5 position with protruding slide-stop can be located also above the top edge of the paired lens. (In an alternative optional but less-preferred embodiment, the head of this molded-on hanger tab will be below the highest top edge of the molded pair when held vertically, used with periodical clean-off of the accumulated dip hardcoating which has contacted and cured onto the robotic means  
10 for gripping the head.) Special features are designed into the head so as to geometrically mate with certain robotic devices , workholders and racks.

Optionally, a drip tab is located in the bottom quadrant of each lens (4:30-7:30 o'clock positions), to minimize dipcoating dripmark size, by capillary wicking action to drain off excess liquid coating once the molded paired lens have been  
15 fully removed from immersion in the dipbath. These optional drip tabs would, however, have the disadvantage of requiring a trimming operation after coating is cured, and also they will increase polycarbonate resin usage + cost per lens.

These four elements of the present invention enable multi-cavity injection molding of polycarbonate spectacle lens to be integrated via full automation  
20 with dip hardcoating, to produce clean hardcoated molded paired lens made entirely within a single continuous cleanroom air enclosure surrounding the lenses, without any human operators therein, nor requiring any cutting or trimming of the molded lens or runner system before hardcoating, nor use of Freon CFC nor aqueous cleaning protocols before dipcoating. The novel  
25 combination of Applicants' lensmold processes and apparatus and molded lens design for the manufacturing processes contribute to this end. An extension of

this cleanroom enclosure and robotic handling may optionally provide in-line continuous-product-flow automatic inspection of optical power and lens cosmetic quality, and/or may optionally provide in-line continuous-product-flow anti-reflective thin-film vacuum coating, before the molded-and-hardcoated polycarbonate lenses exit out of the continuous cleanroom air enclosure and/or receive manual handling .

Another novel improvement using a special spring-loaded assembly of 2 different types of springs has been shown to reduce parting line flash in variable volume injection-compression molding process, applicable to any edge-gated molded plastic article.

#### 5. Description of Drawings

Figure 1 shows a two-cavity Rx lens mold of the present invention, in 2 cross-sectional split views (showing different stages of molded lens formation and ejection /demolding steps within a single molding cycle) and in a plan view.

Figure 2 shows comparative examples from selected prior art, with special attention paid to location of dripmark and ejector tabs or gates that need to be cut before dipcoating can take place, as well as orientation of hanger tabs.

Figure 3 shows the paired molded lenses after ejection, with preferred hanger tab location and stem length, and specific head and stem configurations of the present invention suited for mating with different variations of robotic gripping position and workholder mating geometries.

Figure 4 shows manufacturing flowsheets, with the process steps shown in block diagram, and those steps which are to be done robotically within a cleanroom are shown within dashed-line boxes.

## 6. Detailed Description of the Invention

### A. Lens Formation and Ejection within Moldset.

10 The present invention employs a novel and advantageous method and apparatus for ejecting multi-cavity injection-compression- molded Rx lens, in molded pairs each with a hanger tab (see Fig. 3), while preserving cleanliness of both the demolded paired lenses and the optically polished molding surfaces of moldset, free of metal or plastic particles. Refer to Figures 1, 1A and 1B, showing a simplified two-cavity lens moldset, with the injection molding machine nozzle tip (not shown) injecting into a cold sprue bushing (9) and cold runner system (15) which is centered between the two mold cavities. An optional but preferred embodiment for molding two or more pairs of Rx lenses during one cycle of a single moldset would employ instead a hot-runner system using a plurality of hot-runner nozzle tips in place of the single injection molding machine nozzle tip which injects into cold sprue bushing (9) and cold runner system (15); such a hot-runner apparatus for a four-cavity mold is shown in Applicants' US 4,828,769 and 4,900,242 (incorporated herein by reference), Figure 17. Another alternative hot-runner system for optical thermoplastic molding is shown in Applicants' US 4,965,028, incorporated herein by reference. A cold well (40) is advantageous to build into the cold sprue and cold runner system , to trap "cold slugs" before they reach the lens mold cavities.

Note that a slight undercut (41) or negative draft angle on cold well (40) will provide a positive mechanical retention force, which is helpful later on in ejection steps.

25 Another optional but preferred embodiment for molding pairs of Rx lenses within a single moldset would employ "variable volume" mold cavities , wherein the initial cavity height dimension is larger before injection starts than the final



projected area wetted by melt, and within the last 0.1-0.5mm of the compression stroke is when typically such flashing can occur. Parting line "flash" (plastic spilled out of the moldset along the parting line where the A side and B side of the moldset joins) must also be eliminated or minimized, as it will otherwise be  
5 trimmed off before dipcoating (thus generating particulates) or it may create liquid dipcoat flow runs. Use of extremely stiff , high-deflection-force conventional coil-type die springs as resilient member to solve that problem create a different problem during the ejection phase of the molding cycle, however, since as soon as the clamping force is released in preparation for mold  
10 opening, these high spring forces act as a catapult for the lenses and cold runner by prematurely pushing forward the parting line molding surfaces before the injection molding machine's ejection mechanism is actuated.

The present invention preferably can employ a novel combination of 2 different types of moldsprings within the moldset to give "2 stage" workings of these  
15 "resilient members". As shown in Fig. 1, (shown in split cross-sectional view , when the spring is uncompressed, such as by releasing mold clamping forces exerted by the injection molding machine during ejection phase of the cycle), a conventional coil-type steel die spring (25) having long compression strokelengths but moderate deflection force are used in combination with  
20 extremely stiff , very high deflection force stack of Belleville spring washers (26) held in place by shoulder bolt (29) , to give 2 different levels of moldspring forces during 2 different phases of the strokelenght -- when either initial mold-opening or final-closing movements are in the 0.0 to 0.5mm range, the very high deflection force stack of Belleville spring washers (26) dominate  
25 ; from then on, the weaker coil-type die spring (25) are the only applicable spring force, giving a controllable mold-opening stroke (too high spring forces can then almost "catapult" the paired molded lenses off the B side, held on only

by retention (41)). Together, they determine the variable volume cavity height dimension, on each molding cycle to create a compression strokelength (21) , up to a maximum dimension determined by shoulder bolt (29) In such an optional but preferred embodiment of the present invention, this injection compression

5 process sequence is as shown in Applicants' US 4,828,769 & 4,900,242 Fig. 2-6, but differ thereafter (not as shown in Fig. 7 & 8), in how the Rx lenses are to be de-molded and ejected. For a flash-free injection-compression mold filling process, using 2-stage spring-loaded forces greatly increases the spring forces which hold the moldset's parting line shut , only during this last  
10 half-millimeter of compression stroke. This process automatically changes the sum of the 2 springs' force just when greater force is needed, in the last fractions of a millimeter of the "mold-closing" compression stroke during such a variable volume mold filling process.

Applicants' 2-stage springload combination (stiff-spring applied only a  
15 shortstroke + soft- spring applied over the whole longer stroke) is an improved form of "resilient member" operating within any such variable-volume injection compression mold in which the cavity height is determined by the degree of elongation of springs. A review of the prior art cited herein and cited in Applicants' US 4,828,769 & 4,900,242 shows no such 2-stage springload  
20 combination, nor any such insight into the benefit thereby. Specifically, any edge-gated plastic articles to be molded within a variable-volume injection compression mold in which the cavity height is determined by the degree of elongation of springs will have the same tendency toward parting line flash, and the larger the projected area of the cold runner system (especially if large fan  
25 gates or full-length runner-gating is used), the worse the flash problem will be. If the article is flat and meltflow pathlength is short, then a very short (0 to 1mm) compression strokelength can be used , for which a single very stiff

spring geometry is satisfactory, so Applicants' novel 2-stage springload combination is then unnecessary. However, if the article is of non-flat contour and meltflow pathlength is longer, then a longer ( $> 1\text{mm}$ ; typically 2-10mm) compression strokelength must be used, for which a single very stiff spring geometry is unsatisfactory, Applicants' novel 2-stage springload combination is then useful and necessary, to control flashing tendency. Such other articles may be other precision optical lens products (such as light-amplifying LCD lens arrays for flat panel displays, many optically microstructured surfaces replicated through molding including "binary optics", "hybrid optics", fresnels and holographic imaging) and molded automotive windows, headlamp lenses, and mirrors, but flashfree non-optical opaque injection-compression moldings of similar geometries is also contemplated, such as large auto exterior body panels (hoods, doors and fenders) and in-mold-textile-surfaced interior panels. All these non-spectacle-lens applications are known to have considered or used variable-volume injection compression molding, and the flash problem is believed to have deterred some from actual use. Applicants have recently run such variable-volume injection compression molds with and without the novel 2-stage springload combination, and these tests have proven clearly the anti-flash benefits claimed.

Such an injection-compression molding process for reduced parting line flash on at least one molded thermoplastic article operates within a moldset mounted within an injection molding machine having programmable control of means for applying clamping forces and opening forces onto a parting line formed between A side and B side of the moldset, and the injection molding machine has programmable control of means for moving forward or back an ejector assembly within the B side of said moldset. The moldset has at least one edge-gated variable-volume mold cavity having partforming surfaces on opposing paired A

side insert and B side insert facing the parting line, and at least one extendable and compressible passive resilient member of varying length determines a cavity height dimension of the mold cavity within preset mechanical limits. The resilient member being an operative combination of :

5 i) steel coil die spring to provide a moderate spring force over a very long distance in a first clamping position of the moldset, with

ii) stacked Belleville type steel spring washers to provide a very stiff spring force over a very short distance in a second clamping position of said moldset,

10 with the resilient member being mounted between the B side parting line mold plate and B side clamp plate of said moldset, and exerting combined spring forces to bias forward the B side parting line mold plate toward the parting line . In the injection compression molding process,

when there is less clamping force exerted by the injection molding machine  
15 than a first spring force equal to the steel coil die spring force acting alone to bias forward the B side parting line mold plate toward the parting line, the resilient member length will be a maximum within the preset mechanical limits in a first clamping position of the moldset, and

when there is more clamping force than the first spring force equal to the  
20 steel coil die spring force acting alone to bias forward toward the parting line but less clamping force than a second spring force equal to the steel coil die spring acting together with steel spring washer force to bias forward the B side parting line mold plate toward the parting line, the resilient member length will be an intermediate value in a second clamping position of the moldset, and

25 when there is more clamping force than the second spring force equal to the steel coil die spring acting together with steel spring washer force to bias forward the B side parting line mold plate toward the parting line, the

resilient member length will be a minimum within the preset mechanical limits in a third clamping position of the moldset.

This process has the steps of:

5 a.) Pre-enlarging the mold cavity by substantially closing a perimeter of the mold cavity at the parting line so as to prevent molten thermoplastic from flashing, in a first position of the moldset formed by applying a clamp force equal to a first spring force, such that a first cavity height equal to the sum of the desired compression stroke length plus a final thickness of the molded article is determined, before injection starts ;

10 b.) Partially filling the mold cavity after injection has started by progressively reducing cavity height in a second position of the moldset formed by increasing clamp force applied to exceed the first spring force but less than the second spring force ;

15 c.) Completely filling said mold cavity after injection has ended by further progressively reducing cavity height to reach a third position of the moldset formed by increasing clamp force applied to exceed the the second spring force ;

20 d.) Cooling said molded article within the mold cavity after injection has ended by maintaining cavity height substantially at the third position of the moldset formed by maintaining clamp force applied to exceed the the second spring force until a maximum cross section is below a glass-transition temperature characteristic of the thermoplastic ;

e.) Ejecting the molded article by releasing clamp force and opening the moldset along the parting line.



(19) forming the lens edge uses thermal shrinkage of the molded lens to assist separation off the mold cavity bore (sleeve 20) surfaces. Importantly, were zero draft employed in the bore which forms the lens edge, as is common in today's Rx polycarbonate lenses made by prior art methods, these lenses could be so

5 strongly held onto the B side mold insert (14) by partial vacuum that the lenses are pulled back when the springloaded parting line B side mold plate (28) comes forward (relative to the B side mold insert). Applicants have seen such examples, where the still-hot gates are bent or, even worse, torn off, leaving the lens stuck onto the B side insert deep inside the bore. By applying some  
10 positive draft to the B side sleeve, a mechanical interference is created which prevents this possibility of the lenses being pulled back into the bore.

See Fig. 1B. Note that the parting line (C-C cross-sectional plane) is not yet opened at all, even though the movable platen has traveled rearward (compare the moldset height measured between A clamp plate (25) and B clamp plate (23) vs.

15 the lefthand split view which shows the fully-clamped condition). With or without an optional air blowoff, when the parting line starts to open up, the molded paired lenses are already transferred off the B side and are being pulled off the optically -polished partforming surfaces of the A side concave inserts (13) , since the cold sprue (18) and cold runner (15) of the molded paired  
20 lenses are still firmly attached to the ejector mechanism (which is not yet actuated), using conventional mechanical retention (41) (shown as controlled-draft-angle on the cold well (40) of the sprue) to "grip" the molded paired lenses (16) onto the B side. (Also, deliberately running the coolant temperatures on the B side cooler than those of the A side can cause more  
25 shrinkage to occur on the B side of the molded lenses, thus reducing retention forces on the A side of the lens.)

See Fig. 1. As the injection molding machine's mold opening continues after the maximum forward travel of the springloaded B side mold plate (28) is reached (set by the shoulder bolt (29)) , then the parting line opens up. Once the A & B sides are no longer held together, stripping forces are automatically applied  
5 by this mold opening motion which will exceed the partial vacuum that may exist between the convex surface of the molded lens and the corresponding concave mold insert surface upon which it was formed, since the molded paired lens are still held by mechanical retention forces (41) onto the movable platen B side of the moldset. As long as these B side retention forces exceed the force wanting to  
10 hold the lenses onto the A side inserts without exceeding the cohesive strength of the plastic in the cold runner and gate, pulling the lenses off the A side will be mechanically positive when the parting line opens up sufficiently during mold opening.

Next, as shown in Fig. 1, the paired molded lenses (16) and connecting cold  
15 runner system including mechanical retention (41) are stripped off the B side by conventional ejector pins (4), which are driven by motions of the injection-molding machine's hydraulic ejector cylinder (not shown) tied into the moldset ejector plates (24), to which the ejector pins (4) are mechanically tied in. Stripping the lenses off the B side will also be mechanically positive. This  
20 step is done only when the moldset is fully opened up along the parting line, and timing of this ejector motion is only initiated after the end-of-arm tooling of a takeout robot is in place to receive the molded paired lenses while being stripped off of the mechanical retention . This timing is coordinated between a programmable control of the injection molding machine and of the takeout robot,  
25 with part verification to confirm that this handoff has been made. Many brands and types of takeout robots exist for plastic injection molding machines. A side entry type is preferred over the more common "up and out" rectilinear type,

since the space above the mold platens is preferably where downward-facing HEPA filters will be located , and since a cleanroom enclosure will be smaller and more compact if a side entry type is used. Typical makers of side entry takeout robots include Ranger Automation of Shrewsbury, MA, Conair  
5 Martin of Agawam, MA, and Automated Assemblies of Clinton, MA.

Note that the above-mentioned ejection sequence differs from the conventional way plastic parts are ejected from injection molding, which starts by stripping the molded part off the partforming cavity surface first , when the mold starts to open, while holding the molded part onto the partforming core surface. After  
10 the mold is fully open, either a robot arm or human operator then reaches in and pulls the molded part off the partforming core surface.

In an optional but preferred embodiment of the present invention, filtered compressed air is employed in accordance with a prescribed "air blow" sequence of steps in order to provide a supplementary driving force for separating the  
15 molded lens off the optically polished part-forming surfaces, to which they are held by natural vacuum due to thermal shrinkage while the mold is closed and the clamping force is maximized. Although use of compressed-air blowoff to assist ejection is not new to those skilled in the art of injection-molded thermoplastics generally, Applicants are not aware of it ever being employed in  
20 optical lens injection molding, and it is not found in any of the prior-art patents relevant to this field. Refer to Fig. 1B. Applicants employ filtered compressed air (for cleanliness of part-forming mold surfaces as well as molded lens surfaces), introduced by A side air line (10) and B side air line (11), into the clearance gap (12) formed between the outer perimeter of each cavity  
25 insert (A side cavity insert (13) and B side core insert (14)) and the bore of circumferentially-surrounding sleeve (20). Air valves (not shown) control the

air flow and pressure within air lines (10) and (11) to provide air blow in an ejection sequence, working in combination with conventional ejector pins (4), which are driven by motions of the injection-molding machine's hydraulic ejector cylinder (not shown) tied into the moldset ejector plates (24), to which the  
5 ejector pins (4) are mechanically tied in.

In an optional but preferred embodiment of the present invention, even before the parting line is opened, filtered compressed air feeds through these "vent gap"-sized passageways gap (12) (for polycarbonate lens, a gap of 0.001" (0.025 mm) still will not "flash"), so that the forces of the air begin to be applied  
10 on the movable platen B side (core side) around the perimeter of the convex insert, and work inward toward the center of the lens, to provide a clean separation off the convex part-forming surfaces of the B side insert. At the same time, drafted surface (19) of the lens edge uses thermal shrinkage of the molded lens to assist separation off the mold cavity bore (sleeve 20) surface.  
15 To assist separation of the paired lenses off the stationary platen (A side) of the mold before the parting line is opened, in an optional but preferred embodiment of the present invention, a second stage of air blowoff can be initiated, wherein similarly filtered air enters up around the perimeter of the concave optically-polished A side mold insert perimeter and driving toward each  
20 lens center to break the partial vacuum formed during molding. During this time, a substantial seal is still held by a tiny edge seal overlap (42) of the lens front onto the lens mold cavity perimeter. See Fig. 1B. If this tiny seal overlap (42) is missing, air blowoff forces will be substantially weakened and may be ineffective, since the air will follow the path of least resistance and  
25 bypass the lens center, leaving some partial vacuum force wanting to hold the molded lens in place during the next stage of ejection, which is mechanical stripping the lens off the concave insert surfaces by the molding machine's

clamp-opening stroke while the paired lenses are being firmly held onto the ejector apparatus which moves along with the B side of the moldset.

#### B. For Cleanliness, Never Cut Solidified Plastic Before Dipcoating

5 Each polycarbonate dipcoated lens is inherently edge-gated and is hardcoated by a glossy film which is easily seen to form a "dripmark" (resulting from gravity flow of the liquid dipcoating onto both front and back surfaces). To examine such an Rx lens, let us look at a plan view of the molded hardcoated lens, and find the location of the dripmark (easily observed as a buildup (37) of the relatively-thicker hardcoating glossy film, as seen in Figure 2B. When laid out as a clock face, let us arbitrarily designate the location of any lens' dripmark as in the 6 o'clock position. By examining this lens-edge sidewall, starting at the dripmark and going circumferentially all the way around, one can see if any ejector tabs were used, and if so, were the cut before or after dipcoating, because if these tabs would be cut off before or dipcoating, it will show a glossy covering over the cut mark/residue, in addition to the degating residue where the gate has been removed.

10 Observing lenses sampled from the current market, the Gentex and Neolens lens samples typically show one or more ejector tabs, most commonly 180 degrees opposite the gate. The Neolens sample showed four such ejector tabs + the gate, all of which were cut off before the cleaning and dipcoating operations (like Comparative Example Figure 2.)

20 The reason why tabs in some lens edge locations cannot be tolerated in the dipcoating process is that liquid coating on the top half of the lens would run down by gravity from the tip of the ejector tab over the lens edge, and this liquid stream of coating will then flow vertically down from that perimeter

location of the ejector tab along the front or back optical surface of the lens. This "coating flow runs" creates nonuniform lightbending (= aberrated image seen when looking through the accumulated thicker coating), causing a rejection of the manufactured lens. If one or more ejector tabs must be cut off the molded polycarbonate lens before dipcoating, this not only adds to the variable cost (higher resin used per lens, more labor cost for operator handling and trimming operations, but it also directly reduces surface cleanliness of the freshly-molded lens. There is no way to cleanly cut solidified polycarbonate plastic without inevitably generating fine airborne particulates ("polycarbonate dust"), which immediately re-deposits onto the front and back optical surfaces of the polycarbonate lens, because electrostatic attraction forces will draw and bind them to the high-dielectric-constant polycarbonate surface layer. Use of ionizing-air blowers can minimize this electrostatic attractive force, but actual tests of freshly demolded lenses with fieldmeters show 5-30 kilovolts of static charge, which is only very slowly dissipated (in minutes, not seconds) due to excellent electrical insulation properties of polycarbonate.

Even when no ejector tabs are cut before coating, if the lens must be degated so that it can be hung via molded-on hanger tab onto the lensholder rack (see Comparative Example Figure 2), or if a molded pair of the lens must have the cold runner cut so that it can be inserted via molded-on hanger tab into the lensholder rack (see Comparative Example Figure 2A), then these degating and/or runner-cutting operations will also generate the fine polycarbonate dust as airborne surface contaminants. All apparently also some require manual handling by human operator between molding and dipcoating steps. After trimming and mounting into lensholder racks, these polycarbonate lenses are cleaned to remove any soluble surface contaminants (such as oil) and insoluble particulate soils

(such as airborne inorganic dusts, but most troublesome, the fine polycarbonate particles generated by the trimming and degating and runner-cutter operations).

Applicants' US 4,828,769 and US 4,900,242 licensees' lenses do not use any ejector tabs, as can be verified by examination of the lens edge. Nevertheless, 5 if the injected shot (into a plurality of lenses connected by cold-runner melt delivery system) must be cut apart in order to be mounted into lensholder racks, then these runner-cutting operations have the same undesirable effect of generating polycarbonate dust. The statistically greatest source of percent yield loss is the flaw category known as "coating clear specks", wherein a 10 transparent/translucent particle, of sufficient size and location so as to disturb vision, is encapsulated inside the liquid-applied hardcoating's glossy film. Obviously, vigorous cleaning and multi-stage dilution factor can make a difference in reducing this economic loss and percent yield. Nevertheless, even with today's best cleaners, it remains the greatest source of scrap lenses.

15 Refer to Fig. 1A. The molded paired lenses of the present invention will have no hanger tabs (1) in the upper 90-degree quadrant (6) (between 10:30 and 1:30 o'clock), will be gated (4) within right and/or left side quadrants (5) and (-5) (between 1:30 and 4:30 o'clock for (5) and between 7:30 and 10:30 o'clock for (-5), respectively), and if they use an (optional) drip tab (not shown), it will 20 be located in lower quadrant (7) (between 4:30 o'clock and 7:30 o'clock). See also hanger tab stem (3) and open-spring head configurations described more in examples referring to Fig. 3.

Now see Comparative Examples on Figs. 2, 2A, 2B and 2C. In contrast to the cited prior art, note that no ejector tabs are employed on the Applicants' lens 25 perimeter itself (see Fig. 3), and most specifically, not at any location that would require cutting off before dip hardcoating.

The Comparative Example of Fig. 2 shows a simplified 2-cavity lens molding with cold sprue and runner (32). Note that each lens has a multiplicity of ejector tabs and the gate, each of which must be cut (33) in a separate operation after demolding before dipcoating , using molded-on "T" shaped hanger tab (34). The  
5 prior art patent which most closely resembles this Comparative Example of Fig. 2 is Weber (US 4,008,031), differing only in that Weber's T shaped hanger tab 20 is located directly opposite the gate 25 , with an ejector tab 16 on each side of tab 20 . Weber needs to cut off the gate feeding into drip tab 23 before dipcoating can be done.

10 Bakalar (US 4,644,854), assignment to Neolens, shows in his Figure 4 & 5 use of ejector pin 15 opposite the gate, with no molded-on hanger tab shown. In actual practice, the Neolens molded lens has a plurality of ejector tabs and ejector pins which need to be cut before dipcoating, in an array just like the Comparative Example of Fig. 2, thus needing 6 cuts (33) to prepare each lens for  
15 dipcoating using a tab (34) of unknown shape at the location pictured in Fig. 3.

Weymouth (US 4,933,119), assignment to Gentex, shows no ejector pins or hanger tabs, and does not teach any procedures for demolding or ejecting the molded lens. One must only assume that a human operator is employed to manually remove the molded lens, in which case high levels of airborne contamination onto the  
20 demolded lenses is inherent. All Gentex Rx lenses show at least 1 cut per lens before dipcoating (the cut is coated over with glossy film).

See now the Comparative Example of Fig. 2A, which shows a simplified 4-cavity lens molding with cold sprue 18' and runner 35 feeding into 2 pairs each of lenses , each having a gate 15'. Even if the closest prior art (Applicants' US  
25 4,878,969 and US 4,900,242) were to be configured into 2 pairs as shown instead of 4 single lens, and even if a molded-on feature for gripping and fixturing

were added onto the runner for each pairs, there is still no way to dipcoat these lenses as they are demolded, without at least 2 cuts (33) to separate the 4-cavity shot into the 2 pairs.

There are additional limitations Applicants' US 4,878,969 and US 4,900,242 . See  
5 the ejection sequence in Figures 6, 7, and 8, wherein the resilient member 13 is kept in its compressed or retracted position, so that when ejector plate 17 is pushed forward by the injection molding machine when the mold parting line is completely open, then the B-side inserts 5b is pushed forward past the parting line plane, as shown in Figure 8, and the molded optical lens or disk is ejected  
10 97. as shown. This method of Rx lens ejection is NOT desirable for use with an in-line mold and dipcoat process scheme of the present invention, however. This reciprocating back-and-forth B side insert's motion within a tightly-fitting bore of at least several millimeters (high-minus, finished-single-vision lenses can easily be 10mm edge thickness) must inevitably cause metal-to-metal wear and  
15 resulting galling (seen as scoring lines when viewing the molded lens edge ; this is confirmed by visual examination of the molded lens edge of Applicants' licensee which uses this "traveling insert" method of ejection). The metal-to-metal wear that results must generate tiny metal particulate contamination which can be deposited on both the molded lenses and the  
20 part-forming surfaces of this optical mold, thus creating cosmetic rejects in the dipcoated lenses. Secondly, if severe galling takes place, the resulting irregular surface profile of the bore which forms the mold cavity sidewall then permits molten plastic to flow into these tiny galled-in crevices, which then gets sheared off during ejection forces (as the traveling insert is pushed  
25 forward), thus creating a fine particulate plastic "dust" for further airborne contamination of the demolded lenses and molding surfaces. For these reasons,

the traveling-insert method is found not to be acceptable for the in-line, automated molding and dipcoating of the present invention.

Referring again to Applicants' US 4,878,969 and US 4,900,242, note that Figure 9B shows drip tabs 99 in the 6:00 o'clock position of the molded lenses, but  
5 that even if there was a way of separating the two molded pairs shown without cutting after solidification of the plastic, the small cold well 31 is not located high enough to clear the lens edge so as to serve as a gripper or hanger tab for dipcoating, nor can cold-runner firm sprue 19 be separated without a cutting operation, which would generate plastic dust contaminants.

10 Refer now to Fig. 2C Comparative Example, showing a typical prior art single lens with tab (34) at 12:00 o'clock position. If dipcoating immersion strokelength is not extremely accurate, and the lens is immersed not just to the top lens edge but further, partway up the stem of the tab, then the liquid will run back down by gravity this stem, thus causing flow runs (38) streaming back  
15 onto the lens' optical faces. This is minimized but not entirely eliminated by reducing the tab thickness and setting tab (34) back some distance from either face. Weber (Us 4,008,031) is one such example.

Refer now to Fig. 2D Comparative Example, showing a Liebler (GB 2 159 441A) prior art single lens with a tab (34) of the full thickness of the lens, at  
20 12:00 o'clock position. Refer also to Liebler's Fig. 2, from which this lens is taken, showing lens F with lug 10 and driptab 11. If dipcoating immersion strokelength is not extremely accurate (which is impossible with Liebler's "endless conveyor" dipping the lens), the lens will inevitably be immersed partway up the stem of the tab, then the liquid will run back down by gravity  
25 this stem, thus causing a large flow runs (38) streaming back onto the lens' optical faces.

### C. Lens Edge Detail Design for Clean Ejection

Refer back to Applicants' Figure 1, which shows a drafted surface (19) of the mold cavity bore which forms the lens edge sidewall detail. In an optional but preferred embodiment of the present invention, this surface's draft angle will  
5 be a positive value, when compared to vertical ("zero draft"). This draft angle generally should be increased in value directly proportionally as lens edge thickness is increased. Also, note that adding a slight molded-on rim at the junction of the convex surface and lens edge sidewall (typically, no more than 0.5mm per side is sufficient) which acts as a edge seal (42) (see Fig. 1B)  
10 facilitates compressed-air blowoff which is optional but preferred with the present invention.

Molded or cast Rx lens blanks are sold in nominal diameters, rounded off to integral millimeters. Since all cast or molded plastic spectacle lens blanks are subsequently cut down on their perimeters so as to fit inside a specific  
15 spectacle frame of the patient's or prescribing doctor's choice, inherently all Rx lenses will be "laid out" to fit the mating spectacle frame. Because of various blemishes and flaws which can accumulate at the edge of cast Rx lens (such as bubbles or voids) and molded plastic lens (such as residual knit line or gate blush) or, due to the dip hardcoating (such as "dripmark"), the rule of  
20 thumb is to provide a waste zone, consisting of a perimeter band of 5mm wide circumferentially around the lens edge. Thus, on a 76mm-nominal-diameter lens blank, for layout purposes, only the inner 66mm would be considered usable, when subtracting 5mm waste zone per side.

The present invention utilizes the fact that waste zone exists in order to alter  
25 lens product edge and sidewall details for improved manufacturability. Refer again to Figs. 1, 1A and 1B. Most specifically, in an optional but preferred

embodiment of the present invention, Applicants provide for a plurality of interchangeable sleeves (20), each of which which can be selected with its different drafted surfaces (19) and assembled together with the appropriate mating convex insert (14) in order to mold each different lens power, so as to  
5 provide the cleanest possible release of the molded paired lenses free of solid metal or plastic particulates being generated by the ejection process. No one such sleeve draft angle or surface geometry can be optimum for all Rx FSV lens molding, which must encompass a wide range of product geometries. If too steep a draft angle is used all the way down the bore and sleeve surface which forms the  
10 lens sidewall, there will be a large enough clearance gap formed between the sleeve and the insert to "flash", which is unacceptable. Specifically, to mold a complete matrix of FSV plus- and minus-powered lenses will require the mold design to accommodate widely differing lens edge thickness. Plus-powered magnifying lenses (for correcting farsightedness) will have typically, a minimal  
15 lens edge thickness (2.0 - 0.8mm). Conversely, demagnifying minus-powered lenses (for correction of myopia and nearsightedness), will have comparatively much thicker lens edge thicknesses (2.0 - 12.0mm). Having zero draft angle on the thickest lens edges would become problematical. Nevertheless, because the mold tooling becomes much more complicated, the prior art patents show no such  
20 provision for changeable or adjustable draft angles. In actual practice, measuring some commercially available Rx lenses believed to be made by the cited prior-art patents shows a zero draft angle and, therefore, reliance upon "brute force" to mechanically push out the lens in spite of high retention forces therein. Doing this also increases the probability of generating both  
25 metal-to-metal wear and shearing of metal to plastic, both of which produce solid particulate surface contaminations.

As shown in Figure 1A and 1B, the present invention employs interchangeable mold sleeves (20) which become the part-forming surfaces for the lens' sidewall edge. By interchanging one set of such sleeves having a certain pre-determined drafted surface (19) with another set having a different pre-determined drafted surface/  
5 angle so as to mate with the corresponding B-side inserts for a specific desired FSV-power minus lens, one can controllably increase or decrease the draft angle of the resulting molded paired lenses for the full range of FSV lenses as they are ejected, for cleanest molded-lens quality. The thicker the lens edge, and correspondingly higher minus power, the greater the draft angle that should be  
10 applied, but preferably only part way down the sleeve. For example, a -2.00 Diopter lens may have an edge thickness of 4.2 mm, and it will release cleanly with a drafted edge of only 1.9 mm. Conversely, a -5.00 Diopter FSV lens having a nominal edge thickness of 14.6 mm has clean release by using an increased drafted edge of 7.2mm.

15 D. Molded-On Tab Designs Suited For Robotic Manipulation in Dipcoating Process Steps

After paired lens, having the above-mentioned elements of the present invention, are formed within multicavity injection- compression molds of the present invention and are solidified therein, demolding is done within a cleanroom  
20 enclosure maintained preferably at a positive pressure (vs. ambient) from HEPA blower units. A take-out robot is needed ; preferably, the side-entry type, not "up and out" type, so that modular blowers supplying HEPA-filtered air can be located directly above the platens onto the molding machine , to maintain a preferably positive-air-pressure within the clean room enclosure which  
25 substantially surrounds the mold (a deliberate gap located under the mold for an air exhaust may improve the downward-directed laminar flow pattern ; similarly ,

a bottom gap for directed air exhaust is preferably located below the dipcoating machinery).

This side-entry takeout robot operates within a clean-room-enclosed tunnel between the enclosed mold and an enclosed HEPA-filtered automated dipcoating machine. When the mold is opened at the parting line and the side-entry takeout robot's arm is moved into position, each pair of lens are ejected forward into gripping jaws of end-of-arm tooling mounted on the side-entry takeout robot's arm. In an optional but preferred embodiment, this robotic dipcoating machine with its self-contained, clean-room-filtered air, positive-pressure HEPA filter will be located between two such injection molding machines and multi-cavity molds, with two such side-entry robots feeding paired lenses into this one robotic dipcoating machine. This "duo line", in-line system may be economically preferred embodiment versus a single molding machine and mold fed to a single coating machine, since typically Rx lens molding cycles are relatively long (1-5 minutes, depending upon Rx lens power and corresponding molding thickness). With longer-cycling lenses, the duo line configuration de-bottlenecks the molding step, for increased capacity output per unit of capital equipment cost.

See Fig. 4B, showing a block diagram flowsheet of the present invention's steps, within a single cleanroom enclosure (designated by the dashed-line, showing all steps are performed within its cleanroom airspace perimeter).

This robotic device or dipcoating machine may take a number of conventional forms with automated transport driven by chain-drive conveyors (operating singly or in parallel, connected by crossbars whereon the lensholder racks would be hung), or, alternatively, an indexable overhead conveyor or walking-beam conveyor. An optional but preferred embodiment employs a programmable SCARA

cylindrical-type robot of the kind manufactured by IBM, GMF Fanuc, and Seiko. Such a SCARA robot should have a suitably-large (typically, up to 270 degrees rotation and at least 100mm Z axis ) work envelope, so as to be able to transfer these molded paired Rx lenses from a hand-off point somewhere inside the  
5 coating-machine clean-room enclosure to at least one hardcoating diptank, wherein a computer-programmable sequence of immersion times and withdrawal speeds can be employed, followed by transfer to a holding device which is part of a curing workstation fitted with conveying means therein.

See Fig. 3, showing the paired molded lenses with hanger tab (1) comprising stem  
10 (3) and head (4) , as they are received from the side-entry takeout robot , directly or indirectly handed off to the second robotic device. Note dashed line (39) showing the liquid level of the dipbath -- everything below that line (39) will be immersed in the hardcoating solution. Note the workholder-mating horseshoe-shaped head's . contoured surfaces (50 lead angle taper), (52 detent),  
15 and (53 insertion lead angle) are preferably located above the liquid level (39), so as to not contaminate downstream area where mechanical mating might dislodge coating flakes.

See now Fig. 3D. Preferably, this receiving second robotic device will be a programmable SCARA cylindrical-type robot arm fitted with a rotary wrist (not  
20 shown) capable of rotationally moving (70) about axis (69), and paired gripping jaws ((43) left and (60) right) which can move together (68) to grip or ungrip, in accordance with the program. See now Fig. 3C. Although the jaws are cut as substantially mirror-images of the head surface contours (50 lead angle taper),(52 detent), and (53 insertion lead angle), there is additional  
25 clearances ((63) vertical and (62) horizontal) provided for imprecise robotic "handoffs" when transferring the paired molded lenses from one workstation or

operation step to another. Such clearances provide tolerance for slight misalignments or positional errors, yet complete the pickup or handoff properly.

The gripping orientation shown in Fig. 3C is how the SCARA robot would hold the paired molded lenses during the dipcoating step's lowering and raising

5 operations, after which the wet lenses can then be placed into one of the multiple workholder arms having a substantially-mated mirror-image-machined "nest" of Fig. 3B having tapered angle (50'), and stem placement relief (57) and stem retention step (58), with stem clearance (56). Such a workholder will be then used to automatically transport the wet lens through drying and curing  
10 steps. Means for such automatically transport can be conventional conveyors, but in an optional but preferred embodiment, a rotary index drive is fitted with many such workholder arms, as a carousel within the curing workstation.

The gripping orientation shown in Fig. 3D is how the SCARA robot would hold the paired molded lenses during the insertion of the head into a lensholder rack or  
15 similar fixture, wherein the receiving nest (not shown) has a protruding surface for mechanical interference with head detent surface (52) to prevent the head from being easily dislodged during transport. Insertion then requires the robot to exert a pushing force in the axial direction of the stem toward the head, sufficient to deflect the spring -- the lead angle surfaces (53) assist in this  
20 friction fit, as does the spring relief (51) (the greater the relief and the thinner the legs, the easier to deflect the horseshoe shaped spring). Removal is the reverse of the insertion. Typically, this insertion will be done after the paired dipcoated lenses have been cured (at least to a tackfree state), then inserted into a rack holding many pairs, for transport manually after leaving  
25 the cleanroom to such other downstream "batch" operations as inspections (by humans), degating and packaging.

Another optional, but preferred, embodiment uses an intermediate step of robotically placing the molded paired Rx lens into a circulating filtered alcohol tank for a prescribed residence time therein, to perform the following functions:

5 1. De-statisizing (measuring surface charge by field meter, before immersion, the lens has at least 4-10 electron volts' static charge, even after being held under ionizing blower for a prescribed period of time ; after alcohol-bath immersion of at least a couple of minutes, the lens has virtually no measurable surface charge).

10 2. Thermal cooling-off (measured immediately after demolding with a noncontact infrared pyrometer , the polycarbonate Rx lens typically shows a temperature of as high as 250°F (125°C) or higher; depending on residence time and alcohol bath temperature, this can be reduced to 120-60°F, as may be required, depending upon solvent composition in the liquid hardcoating bath, to prevent "solvent burn" of the molded polycarbonate lens surfaces. It is  
15 well-known to those skilled in the art that certain solvents found in today's state of art hardcoating bath compositions can excessively attack a warm polycarbonate lens, causing cosmetic rejectable flaws due to excessive etching, frosting, and solvent-burn phenomenon, while being tolerant of the same lens at  
20 lower temperature.

3. Low-kinetic-energy cleaning/rinsing (soluble organic surface residues and lightly-held insoluble particulates can be removed by the circulating alcohol)

Advantages for using such an alcohol bath are evident especially if the hardcoating is solvent-based, since such solvents will typically attack a  
25 freshly-demolded hot (measured by noncontact infrared , actual temp can be 250 F (125 C) or higher) polycarbonate lens surface to create an etch or partly-dissolved surface layer -- both damaged surfaces are optically rejected

flaws. At room temperatures, the same dipbath solvents may not harm the lens.

The problem then is that cooling in air takes many minutes, during which time

even the best destaticized polycarbonate lens still has high enough surface

charge ( typically  $> 3$  KV) to attract any airborne dusts which are further

5 stirred up by the localized thermal air currents created by the hot lenses, so

even in a HEPA cleanroom, the hot clean lenses gradually become cool less-clean

lenses. By immersing the hot paired lenses as soon as possible into the alcohol

bath, they stay pristinely clean while heat is removed much faster (reducing the

number of pairs of lenses held in the cooling stage before dipcoating, so the

10 equipment can become more compact), and surface charge becomes zero. For this

immersion time of several minutes duration, it is best to have the robot place

the paired lenses into an alcohol tank fitted with a stainless steel cover (or

inert plastic equivalent) into which has been machined as many multiple

head-mating "nests" (as shown in Fig. 3B) as are needed --- the longer the

15 immersion time desired, the more the number of nests and the larger the tank

must become.

If such an alcohol bath is utilized before dipcoating, it is possible to wait

too long -- long enough after removal from the alcohol bath to let the molded,

paired lens dry completely before immersing it in the liquid hardcoating

20 dipbath. To do so permits airborne particles to deposit onto the cleaned dry

lens surfaces, even briefly before entering into the liquid dipbath. Therefore,

an optional, but preferred, embodiment for use of the alcohol bath would not

allow complete evaporation of the alcohol wet film off the molded paired Rx lens

before immersion into the liquid hardcoating dipbath. Instead, wet alcohol films

25 should remain on the lens when immersed into the dipbath , where the lenses are

kept for a sufficiently-long residence time so as to remove any remaining

wet-film of alcohol (and any airborne particles which may have become entrained

therein during the transfer time from alcohol bath to dipcoating bath).

Displacing wet-films of alcohol on the lenses' surface with the liquid hardcoating bath is achieved by a combination of high rate of internal circulation of the liquid hardcoating, as well as some programmed-in mechanical motion by the robotic arm holding the lenses to provide agitation and turbulence.

This SCARA-dipping and alcohol-bath approach assumes that the liquid hardcoating bath composition contains at least one or more alcohols in some significant percentage, and that gradual increase during operations within a certain % range

of alcohol by dragout of the wet film onto the molded lens will not disrupt desired solvent balance and drydown characteristics of the liquid hardcoating dipbath. Such liquid solvent-based hardcoating compositions ideally suited for this protocol and for use with the SCARA robot will also be of low-to-moderate viscosity (preferably, < 10 centistoke ; most preferably, <5 cs.), so as to give efficient mixing/removal of the wet alcohol film off the lens within the dipbath without entraining air bubbles, and to easily flow out smoothly after any vibrations from the SCARA dipping motions. Another way to get smooth coatings from such unconventionally thin viscosity (2-10 cs.) dipbaths is to employ unconventionally fast withdrawal speeds (at least 20 inches per minute,

preferably 0.5-5 inches per second, most preferably 1-3 inches per second ; conventional dipbaths of > 10 cs. use 2-12 inches per minute) , and to follow the first dip with at least a second dip. In such a preferred fast withdrawal speed double-dip process, the dipbath should be relatively fast-drying (by choosing selected high-evaporation-rate solvents such as low molecular weight alcohols and ketones), so as to give smooth coatings free of coating flow runs or "sags", while using relatively dilute (typically < 25% solids) dipbath with a moderate-to-low hardcoating polymer molecular weight.

Depending upon the chosen liquid hardcoating crosslinking chemistry, the curing workstation will be configured so as to provide the desired cure protocol. For example, a simplest version would be a solvent-free UV-curable hardcoating, in which case the curing workstation might simply consist of a battery of UV lamps  
 5 of the electrodeless type (made by Fusion Systems of Rockville, Maryland) or conventional mercury-arc UV lamps, with the lenses having been robotically placed onto carriers of suspended from an overhead conveyor, so as to present the paired, molded lenses' front and back surfaces to line-of-sight exposure to these UV lamps for a sufficiently-long time to effect desired cure. However,  
 10 doing so may preclude use of the alcohol bath. Another variant of such a configuration would be solvent-based UV cure, in which case a solvent drydown stage would precede the UV-cure-lamp stage (infrared lamps represent an energy-efficient way of devolatilizing such coatings, provided again that the molded, paired Rx lens are presented in line-of-sight orientation to this bank  
 15 of infrared lamps), to dry both front and back lens surfaces. Then the principles of the above paragraph may apply.

All commercially-desirable heat-curing liquid hardcoats are solvent-based, so inherently a solvent-evaporation/coating-drydown stage must be employed before accelerated heat cure is given. As previously mentioned, if the lens  
 20 orientation permits line-of-sight exposure to a bank of infrared lamps, doing so is an energy-efficient way of achieving this end. Once fully devolatilized, additional exposure to infrared can provide full croslinking, or, optionally, a lesser dosage can provide gelation to a sufficiently hard film so as to be "tackfree" (meaning airborne dusts will not permanently stick to such surfaces,  
 25 so tackfree; hardcoated lenses can safely be handled manually outside the clean-room enclosure without resulting in yield loss due to coating clear specks. Optionally, a tackfree state might be desired in order to re-cycle

flawed coated lenses -- any inspected lenses which have coating flaws can be easily recycled by immersion into a suitable solvent to strip the tackfree, gelled coating which is not yet fully crosslinked, thus removing the flawed coating film and allowing the paired molded lenses to again be fed through the  
5 cleaning and dipcoating protocol.

An optional but preferred embodiment of a curing workstation may employ a rotary indexing table fitted with multiple arms, having either grasping jaws, suction cups or sculptured mechanical nests, adapted for receiving the molded paired Rx lenses that have molded-on hanger tabs. An especially preferred embodiment  
10 employs the SCARA robot to precisely place the head of the hanger tab into a substantially mechanically mating geometry (preferably with a tapered lead-angle fit) nest of the type shown in Fig. 3B , and located near the end of each of these arms.

A further optional but preferred embodiment of this special type of curing  
15 workstation would then allow for a settable rotation of the arm, such that the position of the molded, paired Rx lens can be varied from a "straight down" vertical orientation (wherein the molded, paired lenses hanging vertically direct down from the arm, at a 90-degree angle), and by rotation of the arm, this angle can be successively reduced to some minimal angle of perhaps 10  
20 degrees or so below the horizontal orientation. (See Figure 3B, retention step (58)) This optional, but preferred, embodiment has the advantage of employing gravity to create a more uniform coating flowout pattern distributed all across the lens surface. This is believed to be especially important for those Rx lenses having strong plus powers (steep, convex front curved surfaces), and also  
25 multi-focal lenses having a ledged bifocal or trifocal segment ("D seg"). Those two types of lenses are particularly problematical when the coating is dried and

cured in a substantially vertical orientation due to gravity then increasing the nonuniformity of flowout of the liquid hardcoating. Refer to Weber (US 4,443,159) coating patent .

E. Process Flowsheets for Add-On Steps in Continuous-Process , following

5 "Mold and Dipcoat"

In yet another optional but preferred embodiment, after the molded and hardcoated lenses are cured at least to a tackfree state, the lenses are then robotically transferred into an adjoining extension of the same cleanroom enclosure which contains an automated computer-assisted-vision lens inspection system, for cosmetic inspection. See Fig. 4C. Such automated lens inspection machines typically use pattern recognition computer software with a video and/or laser-scanning noncontact inspection, and make comparison of the resulting image against the computer's decision rules for "go" and "no-go" acceptance of any cosmetic flaw deviations. However, such an optical computerized inspection system for cosmetics relies upon high-resolution imagery and a large proportion of all cosmetic rejects are at the surface of the hardcoated lenses ("coating clear specks" and "coating flowout runs", especially). One such manufacturer of Rx FSV lens automated inspection machines is Non-Contact International, of Maumee, Ohio.

20 Such inspection system in giving desired results (i.e., rejecting bad lenses and accepting good lenses) must not reject "good" lenses which only have a lightly-held dust particle laying loosely on the lens surface. Cleanliness of the lenses coming into the inspection system is the biggest problem in its use so far. Elaborate and costly multi-stage cleaning equipment workstations and protocols have been necessitated to properly use such equipment. A particularly  
25 advantageous combination of the present invention with such machines would

employ this mated cleanroom (so the lens never leaves the Class 100 clean air environment) operating with positive pressure without any human operator within that airspace, so that paired tackfree-hardcoated lens are kept in a pristine state as they leave the curing workstation directly to the video inspection station. Cosmetic rejects caught at this tackfree state can then be robotically set aside and recycled through solvent stripping, re-cleaning, and re-dipcoating, as mentioned earlier.

See flowsheet of Figure 4D. Yet another optional but preferred embodiment of the present invention takes the hardcoated lens to full crosslinked state before leaving the curing workstation, then robotically transfers the molded fully-cured hardcoated paired Rx lens within an adjoining extension of this mated clean-room enclosure maintained under positive pressure (HEPA-filtered air of typically Class 100 purity), wherein this connected-clean-room enclosure contains a thin-film anti-reflective ("AR") vacuum-coating machine fitted with multiple load locks and product workholders adapted to the molded, hardcoated, paired lenses. Fig. 4D shows a block diagram flowsheet of the present invention's steps, within a single cleanroom enclosure (designated by the dashed-line, showing all steps are performed within its cleanroom airspace perimeter). This continuous-process anti-reflective vacuum coating system would typically contain the following steps:

1. After the load station, pull at least a rough vacuum before transferring to a second vacuum stage via load lock, wherein a final vacuum is pulled.

2. At that point, some surface preparation protocol, such as ionizing plasma or electron gun discharge, can be used to clean and/or modify surface chemistry of the top few molecular layers of the hardcoated Rx lens, either in this chamber or in the next chamber connected by load lock.

3. Once such surface preparation is completed, robotic transfer via load lock moves the paired lens into the vacuum-deposition chamber, wherein an AR film is deposited. Preferably, a high-arrival-energy type AR film is deposited by sputtering or by ion-gun-assist , so as to provide a desirably-dense and  
5 strongly-adherent coating AR film onto one or both optical surfaces of the hardcoated paired lens.

Such a continuous-process automated-transfer AR-coating machine would be directly analogous to similar machines used by the hundreds for continuous-process aluminum-sputter-coating onto injection-molded polycarbonate  
10 compact discs . Leading vacuum-coating equipment manufacturers as Leybold, Balzers, and Denton Vacuum have provided such machines for integrated-molding-and-coating of compact discs (CDs).

**ABSTRACT**

Plastic injection-compression multi-cavity molding of flash-free improved-cleanliness thermoplastic spectacle lenses (16) are suitable to be robotically dip hardcoated. Special spring-loaded (25, 26) molds 5 having variable-volume mold cavities are used in an injection-compression molding process to form, without parting line flash, pairs of a wide range of differing optical power of polycarbonate Rx spectacle lenses (16). These pairs have special molded-on design features which are specially suited for full automation, starting with a novel way for 10 ejection out of the mold into a takeout robot which is integrated via full automation with subsequent dip hardcoating. A molded-on tab with each pair of lenses is specially suited for manipulation by SCARA type robot. This combination produces micro-clean hardcoated paired molded lens made entirely within a single continuous cleanroom air enclosure 15 surrounding the lenses, without any human operators therein, nor requiring any cutting or trimming of the molded paired lens or runner system before hardcoating, nor use of Freon (tm) CFC nor aqueous cleaning protocols before dipcoating.

We claim :

28. As an article of manufacture, thermoplastic injection molded paired spectacle lenses formed within a moldset having a parting line for opening between an A side and a B side of said moldset,

5     said paired lenses being suited as a unit of transfer in a multi-step automated manufacturing process comprising at least an automated demolding step, an automated liquid dip hardcoating step, and an automated drying and curing step,

10     said process being performed robotically within a cleanroom air enclosure, wherein said paired lenses are robotically handled from said demolding step through said dip hardcoating step and until said dip hardcoating has been dried and cured at least to a tackfree state within said cleanroom air enclosure,

said paired lenses comprising the elements of :

15 (a) two thermoplastic injection molded spectacle lens joined into a pair,

each of said lens having an outer perimeter forming a lens edge contoured for release out of a lens mold cavity,

20     said outer perimeter comprising four 90-degree quadrants defined in accordance with a clock face, wherein

an upper 90-degree quadrant is defined as being between 10:30 and 1:30 o'clock locations on the lens perimeter,

a lower 90-degree quadrant is defined as being between 4:30 and 7:30 o'clock locations on the lens perimeter,

25     a righthand side 90-degree quadrant is defined as being between 1:30 and 4:30 o'clock locations on the lens perimeter,

a lefthand side 90-degree quadrant is defined as being between 7:30 and 10:30 o'clock locations on the lens perimeter,

(b) a cold runner having a sprue connecting therebetween a left lens and a right lens in each pair, said cold runner being formed after molten thermoplastic flow from said sprue in fluid communication with said left lens and said right lens is stopped and then cooling to solidification joins together the lenses into a pair,

said cold runner being located in the righthand 1:30-4:30 o'clock side quadrant of the left lens and

10 said cold runner being located in the lefthand 7:30-10:30 o'clock side quadrant of the right lens,

(c) an integrally-molded hanger tab located substantially equidistant between said right lens and said left lens of said paired lens,

said hanger tab having a stem rising substantially vertically out of said cold-runner connecting said paired lenses ,

said hanger tab having a head located on said stem at a point above a highest lens edge when said paired lenses are held vertically in a dipping position, so as to prevent liquid dip hardcoating from contacting robotic means for gripping said head,

20 and said paired lenses formed within said moldset at the end of each molding cycle are robotically handled in the following process steps :

(i) ejecting cleanly off said B side of said moldset being opened along the parting line, said step of ejecting being initiated only when end-of-arm tooling of a takeout robot is in place to receive said paired

25 lenses ;

(ii) handling said paired lenses by automation within said cleanroom air enclosure without any human operators therein,

without any cold runner cutting step or any step of trimming of any tabs off the molded lens before dipcoating, and

5 without use of Freon CFC nor aqueous cleaning protocols before dipcoating ;

(iii) dipcoating said paired lenses by said robotic means gripping said head while preventing liquid dip hardcoating from contacting said robotic means ;

10 (iv) drying and curing after dipcoating said paired lenses at least to a tackfree state within said cleanroom air enclosure.

29. An article of Claim 28 wherein said paired lenses are formed within multicavity injection-compression molds employing a variable volume mold cavity process.

15 30. An article of Claim 28 wherein each of said lens having an outer perimeter forming a lens edge contoured for release out of a lens mold cavity ,

and said lens edge has a positive draft angle formed on said B side .

31. An article of Claim 28 wherein said cold runner having a sprue  
20 connecting therebetween a left lens and a right lens in each pair,

and said sprue has a cold well having negative controlled-draft-angle to grip said paired lenses onto said B side.

32. An article of Claim 28 wherein each of said lens having an outer perimeter forming a lens edge contoured for release out of a lens mold  
25 cavity ,

and said lens edge has an edge seal overlap on said A side.

33. An article of Claim 28 having an additional element of

(d) one or more ejector tabs are employed,

5 said ejector tabs only being located along the lens perimeter so as not to interfere with proper dipcoating and not to propagate coating flowout runs , and

none of such tabs being located in the upper quadrant.

34. An article of Claim 28 having an additional element of

(d) one or more drip tabs are employed,

10 said drip tabs only being located along the lens perimeter in the bottom quadrant of each lens (4:30-7:30 o'clock positions), to minimize dipcoating dripmark size, by capillary wicking action to drain off excess liquid coating once the molded paired lens have been fully removed from immersion in the dipbath.

15 35. An article of Claim 28 wherein said paired lenses are polycarbonate spectacle lens for vision correction.

36. An article of Claim 28 wherein said takeout robot in place to receive said paired lenses upon ejection is of a side entry type, and modular blowers supplying HEPA-filtered air are located directly above  
20 platens of an injection molding machine within which said moldset is mounted, so as to maintain a positive-air-pressure within said cleanroom air enclosure which substantially surrounds said moldset.

37. An article of Claim 36 wherein said side entry type takeout robot operates within a clean-room-enclosed tunnel between said moldset and an enclosed HEPA-filtered automated dipcoating machine.

38. An article of Claim 28 wherein after said takeout robot has received  
5 said paired lenses upon ejection, a step of cooling and removal of electrostatic charge of said paired lenses is performed before said step (iii) of dipcoating.

39. An article of Claim 28 wherein said step of cooling and removal of electrostatic charge of said paired lenses is performed by immersion  
10 into a circulating filtered alcohol bath before said step (iii) of dipcoating.

40. An article of Claim 28 wherein said step (iii) of dipcoating said paired lenses employs a programmable SCARA cylindrical type robot, as a second robotic device to grip said paired lenses by said hanger tab,  
15 said programmable SCARA cylindrical type robot being fitted with jaws cut with a mating geometry for retaining said head of said hanger tab of said paired lenses, for gripping said head while preventing liquid dip hardcoating from contacting said robotic means.

41. An article of Claim 40 wherein said step (iii) of dipcoating said  
20 paired lenses employing said programmable SCARA cylindrical type robot gripping said paired lenses by said hanger tab employs :

(a) a filtered circulating bath of liquid hardcoating of 2-10 centistoke viscosity ;

(b) a withdrawal speed of at least 20 inches per minute.

42. An article of Claim 41 wherein said step (iii) of dipcoating said paired lenses employing said programmable SCARA cylindrical type robot gripping said paired lenses by said hanger tab further employs :

(a) a filtered circulating bath of liquid hardcoating of 2-5 centistoke viscosity and formulated at less than 25% solids using mainly high-evaporation-rate solvents such as low molecular weight alcohols and ketones ;

(b) a withdrawal speed of 0.5-5 inches per second ;

(c) following a first dip with a second dip.

10 43. An article of Claim 28 wherein said step (iv) of drying and curing after dipcoating said paired lenses at least to a tackfree state within said cleanroom air enclosure employs a rotary index drive fitted with a plurality of workholder arms,

each workholder arm being fitted with mating geometry for retaining  
15 said head of said hanger tab of said paired lenses,  
operating as a carousel curing workstation.

44. An article of Claim 28 wherein said a step of inserting said paired lenses into a lensholder rack within said cleanroom air enclosure employs said head of said hanger tab of said paired lenses for a spring  
20 interference fit for its mechanical retention means.

45. As an article of manufacture, polycarbonate injection-compression molded paired spectacle lenses for vision correction formed within a variable volume multicavity moldset having a parting line for opening between an A side and a B side of said moldset,

25 said paired lenses being suited as a unit of transfer in a multi-step automated manufacturing process comprising at least an automated

demolding step, an automated liquid dip hardcoating step, and an automated drying and curing step,

said process being performed robotically within a cleanroom air enclosure, wherein said paired lenses are robotically handled from said  
5 demolding step through said dip hardcoating step and until said dip hardcoating has been dried and cured at least to a tackfree state within said cleanroom air enclosure,

said paired lenses comprising the elements of :

(a) two polycarbonate injection-compression molded paired spectacle  
10 lens for vision correction joined into a pair,

each of said lens having an outer perimeter forming a lens edge contoured for release out of a lens mold cavity, and said lens edge has a positive draft angle formed on said B side,

said outer perimeter comprising four 90-degree quadrants defined in  
15 accordance with a clock face, wherein

an upper 90-degree quadrant is defined as being between 10:30 and 1:30 o'clock locations on the lens perimeter,

a lower 90-degree quadrant is defined as being between 4:30 and 7:30 o'clock locations on the lens perimeter,

20 a righthand side 90-degree quadrant is defined as being between 1:30 and 4:30 o'clock locations on the lens perimeter,

a lefthand side 90-degree quadrant is defined as being between 7:30 and 10:30 o'clock locations on the lens perimeter,

(b) a cold runner having a sprue connecting therebetween a left lens and  
25 a right lens in each pair, said cold runner being formed after molten thermoplastic flow from said sprue in fluid communication with said left

lens and said right lens is stopped and then cooling to solidification joins together the lenses into a pair, and said sprue has a cold well having negative controlled-draft-angle to grip said paired lenses onto said B side,

5      said cold runner being located in the righthand 1:30-4:30 o'clock side quadrant of the left lens and

        said cold runner being located in the lefthand 7:30-10:30 o'clock side quadrant of the right lens,

(c) an integrally-molded hanger tab located substantially equidistant

10 between said right lens and said left lens of said paired lens,

        said hanger tab having a stem rising substantially vertically out of said cold-runner connecting said paired lenses ,

        said hanger tab having a head located on said stem at a point above a highest lens edge when said paired lenses are held vertically in a

15 dipping position, so as to prevent liquid dip hardcoating from contacting robotic means for gripping said head,

and said paired lenses formed within said moldset at the end of each molding cycle are robotically handled in the following process steps :

(i) ejecting cleanly off said B side of said moldset being opened  
20 along the parting line, said step of ejecting being initiated only when end-of-arm tooling of a side entry takeout robot is in place to receive said paired lenses ;

(ii) handling said paired lenses by automation within said cleanroom air enclosure without any human operators therein,

25      without any cold runner cutting step or any step of trimming of any tabs off the molded lens before dipcoating, and

without use of Freon CFC nor aqueous cleaning protocols before dipcoating ;

(iii) cooling and removal of electrostatic charge of said paired lenses ;

5 (iv) dipcoating said paired lenses with a programmable SCARA cylindrical type robot, as a second robotic device to grip said paired lenses by said hanger tab,

said programmable SCARA cylindrical type robot being fitted with jaws cut with a mating geometry for retaining said head of said hanger  
10 tab of said paired lenses, for gripping said head while preventing liquid dip hardcoating from contacting said robotic means,

employing :

(a) a filtered circulating bath of liquid hardcoating of 2-10 centistoke viscosity ;

15 (b) a withdrawal speed of at least 20 inches per minute ;

(v) drying and curing after dipcoating said paired lenses at least to a tackfree state within said cleanroom air enclosure,

employing a rotary index drive fitted with a plurality of workholder arms,

20 each workholder arm being fitted with mating geometry for retaining said head of said hanger tab of said paired lenses,

operating as a carousel curing workstation.

Attorney's Docket No. Mold. N. Coat. Article

## COMBINED DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY

(ORIGINAL, DESIGN, NATIONAL STAGE OF PCT, SUPPLEMENTAL, DIVISIONAL,  
CONTINUATION OR CIP)

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that:

## TYPE OF DECLARATION

This declaration is of the following type: (check one applicable item below)

- ☒ original  
☐ design  
☐ supplemental

NOTE: If the declaration is for an International Application being filed as a divisional, continuation or continuation-in-part application do not check next item; check appropriate one of last three items.

- ☐ national stage of PCT

NOTE: If one of the following 3 items apply then complete and also attach ADDED PAGES FOR DIVISIONAL, CONTINUATION OR CIP.

- ☒ divisional (of Serial No. 08/533,126; other divisionals  
of " " " " " are Serial Nos. 08/795,613  
and 08/795,903 )  
☐ continuation  
☐ continuation-in-part (CIP)

## INVENTORSHIP IDENTIFICATION

WARNING: If the inventors are each not the inventors of all the claims an explanation of the facts, including the ownership of all the claims at the time the last claimed invention was made, should be submitted.

My residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name, I believe I am the original, first and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an original, first and joint inventor (if plural names are listed below) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled:

## TITLE OF INVENTION

" INJECTION MOLDED PAIRED THERMOPLASTIC SPECTACLE  
LENSES SUITED FOR FULLY AUTOMATED DIP HARDCOATING "

## SPECIFICATION IDENTIFICATION

the specification of which: (complete (a), (b) or (c))

- (a) ☒ is attached hereto.  
(b) ☐ was filed on \_\_\_\_\_ as ☐ Serial No. 0 / \_\_\_\_\_  
or ☐ Express Mail No., as Serial No. not yet known \_\_\_\_\_  
and was amended on \_\_\_\_\_ (if applicable).

NOTE: Amendments filed after the original papers are deposited with the PTO which contain new matter are not accorded a filing date by being referred to in the declaration. Accordingly, the amendments involved are those filed with the application papers or, in the case of a supplemental declaration, are those amendments claiming matter not encompassed in the original statement of invention or claims. See 37 CFR 1.67.

(c) ☐ was described and claimed in PCT International Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ filed on \_\_\_\_\_ and as amended under PCT Article 19 on \_\_\_\_\_ (if any).

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF REVIEW OF PAPERS AND DUTY OF CANDOR

I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above identified specification, including the claims, as amended by any amendment referred to above.

I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to the examination of this application in accordance with Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations. § 1.56(a).

☒ In compliance with this duty there is attached an information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.97.

#### PRIORITY CLAIM

I hereby claim foreign priority benefits under Title 35, United States Code, § 119 of any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate or of any PCT international application(s) designating at least one country other than the United States of America listed below and have also identified below any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate or any PCT international application(s) designating at least one country other than the United States of America filed by me on the same subject matter having a filing date before that of the application(s) of which priority is claimed.

(complete (d) or (e))

(d) ☒ no such applications have been filed.

(e) ☐ such applications have been filed as follows.

NOTE: Where item (c) is entered above and the International Application which designated the U.S. claimed priority check item (e), enter the details below and make the priority claim.

#### EARLIEST FOREIGN APPLICATION(S), IF ANY FILED WITHIN 12 MONTHS (6 MONTHS FOR DESIGN) PRIOR TO THIS U.S. APPLICATION

COUNTRY	APPLICATION NUMBER	DATE OF FILING (day, month, year)	PRIORITY CLAIMED UNDER 37 USC 119
			<input type="checkbox"/> YES    NO <input type="checkbox"/>
			<input type="checkbox"/> YES    NO <input type="checkbox"/>
			<input type="checkbox"/> YES    NO <input type="checkbox"/>
			<input type="checkbox"/> YES    NO <input type="checkbox"/>
			<input type="checkbox"/> YES    NO <input type="checkbox"/>

#### ALL FOREIGN APPLICATION(S), IF ANY FILED MORE THAN 12 MONTHS (6 MONTHS FOR DESIGN) PRIOR TO THIS U.S. APPLICATION

---

---

---

## POWER OF ATTORNEY

As a named inventor, I hereby appoint the following attorney(s) and/or agent(s) to prosecute this application and transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith. (List name and registration number)

(Pro Se)

(check the following item, if applicable)

- ☐ Attached as part of this declaration and power of attorney is the authorization of the above-named attorney(s) to accept and follow instructions from my representative(s).

### SEND CORRESPONDENCE TO

George J. Galic  
Managing Partner  
GALIC MAUS VENTURES  
5140 St. Moritz Drive  
Columbia Heights, MN 55421

### DIRECT TELEPHONE CALLS TO: (Name and telephone number)

George Galic  
(612) 571-7961  
Fax: (612) 572-2531

## DECLARATION

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code, and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

## SIGNATURE(S)

Full name of sole or first inventor Steven M. Maus  
Inventor's signature Steven M. Maus  
Date Jan. 20, 1998 Country of Citizenship U.S.A.  
Residence 116220 Territorial Road, Osseo, MN 55369  
Post Office Address \_\_\_\_\_

Full name of second joint inventor, if any George J. Galic  
Inventor's signature George J. Galic  
Date Jan. 20, 1998 Country of Citizenship U.S.A.  
Residence 5140 St. Moritz Drive, Columbia Heights, MN 55421  
Post Office Address \_\_\_\_\_

**CHECK PROPER BOX(ES) FOR ANY OF THE FOLLOWING ADDED PAGE(S) WHICH  
FORM A PART OF THIS DECLARATION**

- ☐ Signature for third and subsequent joint inventors. *Number of pages added* \_\_\_\_\_
- ☐ Signature by administrator(trix), executor(trix) or legal representative for deceased or incapacitated inventor. *Number of pages added* \_\_\_\_\_
- ☐ Signature for inventor who refuses to sign or cannot be reached by person authorized under 37 CFR 1.47. *Number of pages added* \_\_\_\_\_

\* \* \*

- ☐ Added pages to combined declaration and power of attorney for divisional, continuation, or continuation-in-part (CIP) application.
  - ☐ Number of pages added \_\_\_\_\_

\* \* \*

- ☐ Authorization of attorney(s) to accept and follow instructions from representative

\* \* \*

***If no further pages form a part of this Declaration then end this Declaration with this page and check the following item***

- ☐ This declaration ends with this page

**PATENT**

Attorney's Docket No. Mold.N. Coat. Article

Applicant or Patentee: Steven M. Maus and George J. Galic

Serial or Patent No.: 0 / \_\_\_\_\_

Filed or Issued: \_\_\_\_\_

For: "INJECTION MOLDED PAIRED THERMOPLASTIC SPECTACLE LENSES SUITED FOR FULLY AUTOMATED DIP HARDCOATING"  
**VERIFIED STATEMENT (DECLARATION) CLAIMING SMALL ENTITY STATUS (37 CFR 1.9(f) and 1.27(c))—SMALL BUSINESS CONCERN**

I hereby declare that I am and Managing Partner  
☒ the owner of the small business concern identified below:

☐ an official of the small business concern empowered to act on behalf of the concern identified below:

NAME OF CONCERN GALIC MAUS VENTURES

ADDRESS OF CONCERN 5140 St. Moritz Drive  
Columbia Heights, MN 55421

I hereby declare that the above identified small business concern qualifies as a small business concern as defined in 13 CFR 121.3-18, and reproduced in 37 CFR 1.9(d), for purposes of paying reduced fees under Section 41(a) and (b) of Title 35, United States Code, in that the number of employees of the concern, including those of its affiliates, does not exceed 500 persons. For purposes of this statement, (1) the number of employees of the business concern is the average over the previous fiscal year of the concern of the persons employed on a full-time, part-time or temporary basis during each of the pay periods of the fiscal year, and (2) concerns are affiliates of each other when either, directly or indirectly, one concern controls or has the power to control the other, or a third-party or parties controls or has the power to control both.

I hereby declare that rights under contract or law have been conveyed, to and remain with the small business concern identified above with regard to the invention, entitled "INJECTION MOLDED PAIRED THERMOPLASTIC SPECTACLE LENSES SUITED FOR FULLY AUTOMATED DIP HARDCOATING" by inventor(s) Steven M. Maus and George J. Galic

described in

☒ the specification filed herewith.

☐ application serial no. 0 / \_\_\_\_\_, filed \_\_\_\_\_.

☐ patent no. \_\_\_\_\_, issued \_\_\_\_\_.

If the rights held by the above identified small business concern are not exclusive, each individual, concern or organization having rights to the invention is listed below\* and no rights to the invention are held by any person, other than the inventor, who could not qualify as a small business concern under 37 CFR 1.9(d) or by any concern which would not qualify as a small business concern under 37 CFR 1.9(d) or a nonprofit organization under 37 CFR 1.9(e).

\*NOTE: Separate verified statements are required from each named person, concern or organization having rights to the invention averring to their status as small entities. (37 CFR 1.27).

NAME \_\_\_\_\_

ADDRESS \_\_\_\_\_

☐ INDIVIDUAL      ☐ SMALL BUSINESS CONCERN      ☐ NONPROFIT ORGANIZATION

NAME \_\_\_\_\_

ADDRESS \_\_\_\_\_

☐ INDIVIDUAL      ☐ SMALL BUSINESS CONCERN      ☐ NONPROFIT ORGANIZATION

I acknowledge the duty to file, in this application or patent, notification of any change in status resulting in loss of entitlement to small entity status prior to paying, or at the time of paying, the earliest of the issue fee or any maintenance fee due after the date on which status as a small business entity is no longer appropriate. (37 CFR 1.28(b)).

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code, and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application, any patent issuing thereon, or any patent to which this verified statement is directed.

NAME OF PERSON SIGNING George J. Galic George J. Galic

TITLE OF PERSON OTHER THAN OWNER Owner and Managing Partner

ADDRESS OF PERSON SIGNING 5140 St. Moritz Drive  
Columbia Heights, MN 55421

SIGNATURE George J. Galic Date January 20, 1998




FIG. 1






FIG. 1A




**FIG. 1B**




**FIG. 2**

Comparative Example




**FIG. 2A**


Comparative Example




**FIG. 2C**  
Comparative Example





**FIG. 2D**  
Comparative Example



**FIG. 3**



**FIG. 3A**



**FIG. 3B**




FIG. 3C





FIG. 3D

Figure 4A: Comparative Example  
("Batch Process")



DocId: 36160060

Figure 4B: Automated "Mold and Dipcoat"  
("Continuous Process")





Figure 4C: In-Line Automated Inspection  
of "Mold and Dipcoat" ("Continuous Process")



0909161-01091

